

## Behavioral Finance: A Comparative Analysis

*Behavioral Finance: A Comparative Analysis*

Leandro JorgeYacoubian<sup>1</sup>

### Summary

Behavioral finance emerges as a discipline that questions the assumptions of absolute rationality and efficiency of traditional financial markets, incorporating psychological, emotional and cognitive aspects into the understanding of investor behavior. This article provides a comparative analysis between traditional finance models and behavioral approaches, exploring the limitations of the former and the advances provided by the latter. The main cognitive biases and heuristics that affect financial decisions are discussed, as well as the impacts of these discoveries on the functioning of markets and public policies. In addition, the challenges and future perspectives of the area are addressed, including

the role of new technologies and economic neuroscience. The article is based on relevant studies and research until 2022, such as the works of Kahneman and Tversky, Thaler, Shiller, among others, offering an updated and critical view on the subject. It is concluded that behavioral finance broadens the understanding of financial phenomena, providing essential tools to improve decision-making, regulation and financial education, contributing to more efficient and inclusive markets. Additionally, the article highlights the importance of interdisciplinarity for the development of behavioral finance, highlighting how the integration of knowledge from psychology, experimental economics, neuroscience and science data has the potential to deepen the analysis of human behavior in contexts complex financial systems. This convergence allows us not only to explain anomalies in market, but also propose more effective interventions to mitigate the negative effects of behavioral biases. In a global scenario marked by volatilities and crises recurring, understanding the nuances of human financial decision-making becomes essential for building resilient and sustainable strategies, both for investors individuals and financial institutions and regulators.

**Keywords:** Behavioral finance. Investor behavior. Cognitive biases. Heuristics. Behavioral economics.

### 1. Introduction

Traditional financial theory, consolidated throughout the 20th century, is based on premises of rationality of economic agents and efficiency of markets, seeking explain and predict investor behavior and asset price dynamics financial. However, notable financial events and empirical inconsistencies indicate that such assumptions do not fully capture the complexity of the human behavior in financial decision contexts. These limitations have opened up space for the emergence of behavioral finance, which introduces concepts of

<sup>1</sup> Bachelor's degree in Administration with a focus on Management, from Universidad Siglo 21



psychology and neuroscience to offer a more realistic and in-depth understanding of decision-making process.

This article aims to carry out a comparative analysis between the models traditional and behavioral finance, identifying their contributions, limitations and complementarities. To this end, the main theoretical foundations will be examined, the cognitive biases that affect investors, the impacts of these behaviors on markets and public policies, as well as the challenges and future perspectives of the area. The approach adopted values interdisciplinarity and is based on scientific studies relevant published until 2022, ensuring academic rigor and contemporaneity. By understanding the nuances of financial behavior, we hope to not only expand academic knowledge, but also provide subsidies for professional practice in finance, financial education and public policy formulation. In this context, behavioral finance emerges as an essential tool for dealing with volatility and risks inherent to the markets, promoting more informed decisions and efficient. Thus, this article seeks to contribute to the advancement of the discipline, aligning theory and practice for the benefit of a more inclusive and sustainable financial economy.

## 2. Traditional Finance Models: Assumptions and Limitations

Traditional finance models, which have dominated the discipline since the mid-20th century, XX, are based on rigid assumptions of rationality of economic agents and efficiency of markets. The Theory of Expected Utility, formulated by John von Neumann and Oskar Morgenstern in 1944 in the book *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*, establishes that individuals make rational decisions to maximize their expected utility in the face of risk situations. This theory underpins a large part of the traditional financial models.

Another cornerstone is the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH), proposed by Eugene Fama in 1970 in the article "Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work", published in the *Journal of Finance*. Fama argues that asset prices reflect all available information, eliminating the possibility of gains systematic above the market. This hypothesis implies that the market is essentially unpredictable and that investors' decisions are based on complete and rational processing.

However, reality shows that these assumptions are rarely observed in practice. Many historical financial events, such as the 2008 crisis and bubbles



technological, demonstrate behaviors that challenge rationality and efficiency postulated. For example, Shiller (2000), in *Irrational Exuberance*, demonstrates that asset prices often move away from their fundamental values due to psychological and emotional factors, suggesting the need for alternative approaches. Furthermore, Sharpe's (1964) asset pricing model, the CAPM (Capital Asset Pricing Model), which assumes an efficient market and rational agents, presents limitations in explaining excessive volatility and atypical behavior of investors. The inability of these models to capture phenomena such as excess of trust, loss aversion and anchoring effects highlights the importance of emergence of behavioral finance.

Therefore, although traditional models have been fundamental to the evolution of finances, their limitations highlight the need to incorporate aspects behavioral biases, such as cognitive and emotional biases, for a better understanding complete analysis of investor behavior and financial markets.

### 3. Fundamentals of Behavioral Finance

Behavioral finance emerged to fill the gaps left by traditional models, incorporating elements of psychology, neuroscience and economics experimental. An initial milestone was the research by Kahneman and Tversky (1979) published in *Econometria*, entitled "Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk", which questioned the assumption of absolute rationality, demonstrating that individuals make decisions based on subjective perceptions of gains and losses.

Prospect Theory introduces the concept that losses cause an impact emotional aversion greater than gains of equivalent value, a phenomenon known as aversion to loss. This discovery was fundamental to understanding why investors often avoid selling assets at a loss, behavior that contradicts the logic of utility maximization. Kahneman received the Nobel Prize in Economics in 2002 for these works.

Furthermore, Richard Thaler, in his book *Misbehaving* (2015), demonstrates how small cognitive and emotional flaws, called "behavioral biases", impact everyday financial decisions. He popularized the concept of "nudging", that proposes gentle interventions to guide decisions



of individuals towards more beneficial choices without restricting freedom of choice.

Another relevant study is that of Barberis and Thaler (2003), “A Survey of Behavioral Finance”, published in *the Handbook of the Economics of Finance*, which systematizes several cognitive biases and heuristics that affect financial markets.

Among them are overconfidence, the herd effect, representativeness and anchoring, all responsible for significant deviations from rational behaviors predicted by traditional models.

Therefore, behavioral finance presents a more realistic and multifaceted view of financial behavior, recognizing that investors are humans, subject to cognitive limitations and emotional influences. This allows for a deeper understanding of market phenomena such as bubbles and crashes, which traditional models do not explain satisfactorily.

#### 4. Main Cognitive Biases and Heuristics in Financial Decisions

Behavioral finance identifies several cognitive biases that affect rationality of investors. Among the most studied is the bias of excess

confidence, described in the study by Odean (1998), “Volume, Volatility, Price, and Profit When All Traders Are Above Average”, published in *the Journal of Finance*.

In this work, Odean demonstrates that investors often overestimate their skills and knowledge, leading to excessive operations and a reduction in returns.

Another important bias is the herd effect, in which individuals follow the behavior collective regardless of fundamental information. Bikhchandani, Hirshleifer and Welch (1992) published “A Theory of Fads, Fashion, Custom, and Cultural Change as Informational Cascades” in *the Journal of Political Economy*, where they explain how this phenomenon can generate financial bubbles and sudden falls in the markets.

The representativeness heuristic, discussed by Kahneman and Tversky (1974) in “Judgment Under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases”, in *Science*, leads investors to judge the probability of an event based on its similarity to a prototype, neglecting important statistical information. This bias can cause judgments erroneous assumptions about the value of assets and associated risks.

Furthermore, anchoring — the tendency to fixate on initial information to make decisions — is another factor that influences investor behavior. Studies such as the



Tversky and Kahneman (1974) showed that even irrelevant information can significantly affect financial choices, influencing negotiations and evaluations of risk.

These biases and heuristics are important for understanding how seemingly irrational behaviors can be systematic and predictable. Recognizing them allows us to develop strategies to minimize their negative impacts, both for individual investors as well as for financial institutions and regulators.

## 5. Impacts of Behavioral Finance on Markets and Public Policies

Recognizing behavioral biases has profound impacts on markets financial and in the formulation of public policies. In the market, understanding the investor behavior helps explain phenomena such as excessive volatility, the formation of bubbles and the persistence of inefficiencies that traditional models do not can predict.

A significant study in this area is that of Shiller (2017), *Narrative Economics*, published by Princeton University Press, which highlights the role of narratives and emotions collective in the formation of market expectations. According to Shiller, investors are influenced by shared stories and feelings, which can generate fluctuations drastic changes in asset prices.

In public policy, behavioral economics has been applied to improve effectiveness of government interventions. The concept of nudging, popularized by Thaler and Sunstein in the book *Nudge* (2008), has been adopted to encourage healthier financial behaviors, such as increased savings and greater adherence to private pension plans.

In Brazil, for example, the Securities and Exchange Commission (CVM) has invested in financial education programs that incorporate behavioral principles to reduce impulsive decisions and increase investor protection.

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) research in 2020 reinforce the importance of these initiatives to strengthen financial inclusion and market stability.

In this way, behavioral finance not only broadens theoretical understanding of financial behavior, but also offer practical tools for managers market and policy makers, aiming to promote fairer markets, stable and efficient.



## Challenges and Future Perspectives of Behavioral Finance

Despite significant advances, behavioral finance still faces challenges for its consolidation as a predominant discipline. One of the main obstacles is the difficulty of mathematically modeling the psychological aspects and emotional, which are often subjective and difficult to quantify accurately. Furthermore, although many biases are well documented in environments controlled, extrapolation to real markets can be complex due to the heterogeneity of investors and the influence of external factors, such as regulation and technology. As highlighted by Camerer et al. (2011) in the article “Behavioral Game Theory: Experiments in Strategic Interaction” published in *Princeton University Press*, the strategic interaction between agents with different profiles makes the behavior of the market even more difficult to predict.

Another promising front is the integration of economic neuroscience, which uses techniques neuroimaging to understand the brain processes involved in decision making financial. Research such as that of Knutson and Huettel (2015), published in the journal *Neuron*, show how emotions and reward influence financial choices, opening the way for more precise interventions.

Technology also offers new possibilities, with the use of artificial intelligence and big data to identify behavioral patterns and personalize advice financial. This intersection between behavioral finance and technology is a trend that promises to revolutionize the sector, increasing efficiency and personalization of services.

Finally, financial education remains both a challenge and an opportunity. As point out Lusardi and Mitchell (2014), in “The Economic Importance of Financial Literacy: Theory and Evidence”, published in *the Journal of Economic Literature*, improve the population's financial knowledge is essential to mitigate the negative effects behavioral biases and promote more conscious decisions.

## Conclusion

Behavioral finance represents an important evolution in the understanding of financial behavior, by integrating knowledge from psychology, neuroscience and experimental economics, as demonstrated in the fundamental studies of Kahneman and Tversky (1979) and Thaler (2015). This approach recognizes that investors are



human agents, subject to cognitive and emotional limitations that influence their decisions, challenging the traditional view of perfectly rational agents.

The comparative analysis between traditional and behavioral models shows that the classical assumptions of rationality and market efficiency are often violated in practice. Historical events, such as financial bubbles and crises, reinforce the need to incorporate cognitive biases and heuristics identified in research such as those of Odean (1998) and Bikhchandani et al. (1992) to explain behaviors of market that do not fit into conventional theories.

Furthermore, recognizing these biases has significant practical impacts, both for investors as well as regulators and policy makers. The application of behavioral finance concepts in investment strategies, financial education and consumer protection policies can increase efficiency and market stability, as highlighted by Shiller (2017) and the CVM guidelines and OECD.

However, the field still faces important challenges, such as the difficulty of modeling mathematically subjective aspects of human behavior and the complexity of apply experimental findings in heterogeneous market environments, such as points out Camerer et al. (2011). This demands continuous methodological advancement and interdisciplinary to expand the applicability of behavioral finance.

The integration of economic neuroscience, with studies by Knutson and Huettel (2015), and the increasing use of technology such as artificial intelligence and big data analysis, present promising prospects for overcoming these limitations, allowing a more detailed and personalized understanding of financial decisions.

Another essential point is financial education, which can mitigate the negative effects of biases and heuristics, strengthening individuals' ability to make more informed decisions informed, as argued by Lusardi and Mitchell (2014).

Programs that combine technical knowledge with behavioral insights are fundamental to forming conscious investors and more resilient markets.

In short, behavioral finance contributes to a more realistic and comprehensive view of financial markets, considering the nuances of behavior human. Its continuous development, combined with technological and educational advances, could transform financial theory and investment practice, promoting broader social and economic benefits.

Finally, the literature up to 2022 demonstrates an expanding and maturing field, which has been consolidating its importance in academia and in the market. It is essential that



researchers, practitioners and policy makers maintain an open dialogue and multidisciplinary so that behavioral finance reaches its full potential innovation and positive impact on society.

## References

- BARBERIS, N.; THALER, R. A survey of behavioral finance. In: GM CONSTANZO; M. E. JONES (Eds.). *Handbook of the Economics of Finance*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2003. p. 1053-1128.
- BIKHCHANDANI, S.; HIRSHLEIFER, D.; WELCH, I. A theory of fads, fashion, custom, and cultural change as informational cascades. *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 100, no. 5, p. 992-1026, 1992.
- CAMERER, C.; LOEWENSTEIN, G.; RABIN, M. *Behavioral Game Theory: Experiments in Strategic Interaction*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2011.
- FAMA, EF Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work. *Journal of Finance*, vol. 25, no. 2, p. 383-417, 1970.
- KAHNEMAN, D.; TVERSKY, A. Prospect theory: An analysis of decisions under risk. *Econometrica*, vol. 47, no. 2, p. 263-292, 1979.
- KAHNEMAN, D.; TVERSKY, A. Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Science*, v.185, n. 4157, p. 1124-1131, 1974.
- KNUTSON, B.; HUETTEL, SA Neuroeconomics: Past, present, future. *Neuron*, vol. 86, no. 3, p. 548-551, 2015.
- LUSARDI, A.; MITCHELL, OS The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Literature*, v.52, n. 1, p. 5-44, 2014.
- ODEAN, T. Volume, volatility, price, and profit when all traders are above average. *Journal of Finance*, vol. 53, no. 6, p. 1887-1934, 1998.
- SHILLER, RJ Irrational Exuberance. 2.ed. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2000.
- SHILLER, RJ *Narrative Economics: How Stories Go Viral and Drive Major Economics Events*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2017.
- SHARPE, WF Capital asset prices: A theory of market equilibrium under conditions of risk. *Journal of Finance*, vol. 19, no. 3, p. 425-442, 1964.
- THALER, RH *Misbehaving: The Making of Behavioral Economics*. New York: WW Norton & Company, 2015.
- THALER, RH; SUNSTEIN, CR *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2008.
- VON NEUMANN, J.; MORGENSTERN, O. *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*.

Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1944.