RCMOS - Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year I, v.1 2021. | submission: September 25, 2021 | accepted: September 27, 2021 | publication: September 29, 2021

Crisis Management and Operational Continuity in Dental Clinics: Lessons from COVID-19 pandemic

Crisis Management and Operational Continuity in Dental Clinics: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic

Author: Leonardo Dornelas Alves

Graduated in Dentistry from the University Center of Northern São Paulo

Postgraduate in Forensic Dentistry, from São Leopoldo Mandic College

SUMMARY

The COVID-19 pandemic has severely impacted dental services, requiring a rapid and coordinated response from clinics to ensure operational continuity in a highly uncertain environment. This article analyzes how large dental clinics faced the challenges posed by the health crisis, highlighting risk management practices, biosafety, technological innovation, and financial sustainability. The research is qualitative and exploratory in nature, based on a literature review and case study analysis. The results show that institutions with structured operational continuity plans, effective leadership, and technological adaptability achieved greater resilience. The conclusion is that the pandemic provided fundamental lessons for strengthening oral health management, especially regarding crisis prevention, people management, and digital integration. The aim is to contribute to the debate on clinical governance in times of instability, offering guidelines for safer, more efficient, and sustainable dentistry.

Keywords: Crisis management. Dental clinics. COVID-19 pandemic. Operational continuity. Biosafety.

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic severely impacted dental services, requiring a rapid and coordinated response from clinics to ensure operational continuity in a highly uncertain environment. This article analyzes how large dental clinics faced the challenges imposed by the health crisis, highlighting practices in risk management, biosafety, technological innovation, and financial sustainability. The research adopts a qualitative and exploratory approach, based on bibliographic review and case study analysis. The findings show that institutions with structured continuity plans, effective leadership, and technological adaptability achieved greater resilience. It is concluded that the pandemic brought essential lessons for strengthening management in oral health, especially regarding crisis prevention, people management, and digital integration. The aim is to contribute to the debate on clinical governance during periods of instability, offering guidelines for a safer, more efficient, and sustainable dental care model.

Keywords: Crisis management. Dental clinics. COVID-19 pandemic. Operational continuity. Biosafety.

1. INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic, officially declared by the World Health Organization (WHO) in March 2020, has proven to be not only an unprecedented health crisis but also a profound challenge to healthcare systems and organizational resilience at all levels. In the dental field, the impacts have been particularly severe, given the nature of the procedures, which often involve aerosol generation and direct contact with biological fluids. Dental clinics, especially medium- and large-sized ones, were forced to abruptly suspend their activities or adapt their service models to new regulatory and health requirements, posing administrative, economic, and human challenges. This scenario exposed weaknesses in operational planning and, at the same time, served as a catalyst for innovation and strategic reorganization.

Crisis management in healthcare services, although already debated before the pandemic, has gained centrality in academic and practical debate, as COVID-19 demonstrated the need for organizational structures prepared for disruptive events. According to Mitroff (2005), a crisis is not an isolated event, but part of the life cycle of every organization, and the institutions that survive are those that internalize this principle in their management processes. In the dental field, however, studies that address operational continuity in depth from the perspective of health emergencies are still incipient, demonstrating the need for further research on this topic, especially considering the Brazilian context, marked by regional inequalities and regulatory instability.

During the most critical periods of the pandemic, clinics that lacked a structured risk management plan faced everything from shortages of basic supplies to financial collapse, with abrupt revenue reductions and increased operating costs to adapt to new requirements. The lack of robust biosafety protocols and clear internal communication worsened the situation in many institutions. As Silva et al. (2020) point out, an uncoordinated and reactive response was a constant in various healthcare sectors, exposing a lack of a culture of prevention and preparedness for external threats. Therefore, understanding how dental clinics reacted and what they learned during the COVID-19 crisis is crucial to strengthening more resilient management models.

The pandemic also imposed new demands on organizational leadership, people management, and technological adaptability. Clinics that managed to maintain their operations safely and ethically were those whose leaders adopted proactive, transparent, and evidence-based approaches. According to Northouse (2016), in times of crisis, the leader's role is crucial to mobilize the team, mitigate panic, and make assertive decisions in real time. In the dental setting, the challenge was even greater, as it involved

not only the protection of staff and patients, but also the reinvention of care models under legal restrictions and with scarce resources.

Another critical point identified was the need for accelerated digital transformation. Online scheduling tools, electronic medical records, integrated management systems, and even teledentistry have emerged as alternatives to ensure a certain level of operation and continuity of patient relationships. Studies such as that by Estai et al. (2021) indicate that the pandemic has served as a driver of digital innovation in oral health, although barriers such as infrastructure and professional training still limit its full adoption. Thus, operational resilience has become intrinsically linked to the capacity for innovation and technological integration.

In this scenario, the financial sustainability of clinics was also threatened. The reduction in appointments, high investments in PPE, biosafety, and adaptation of physical environments created an imbalance between revenue and expenses, forcing many managers to resort to emergency loans or large-scale layoffs. According to a survey by the Federal Council of Dentistry (CFO, 2020), there was a significant increase in office closures in Brazil during the first year of the pandemic. Thus, the crisis served as an extreme test of financial management capacity, strategic planning, and organizational resilience.

Therefore, this article aims to analyze, from a critical and integrated perspective, the strategies adopted by large dental clinics during the COVID-19 pandemic to ensure their operational continuity. The study explores aspects of crisis management, biosafety, technological innovation, people management, and financial sustainability, based on national and international studies, research, and examples. The aim is to contribute to the improvement of the literature on oral health management and provide support for the formulation of more robust policies and practices for future health emergencies.

2. CONTEXT OF THE HEALTH CRISIS AND ITS IMPACTS ON DENTISTRY

The COVID-19 pandemic, caused by the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus, represented a historic milestone in global public health. According to the World Health Organization (WHO, 2020), the virus rapidly spread across all continents, imposing unprecedented challenges on health systems and requiring drastic social distancing measures, the interruption of non-essential services, and hospital reorganization. In Brazil, the impact was exacerbated by the lack of centralized coordination and structural inequalities in the health system, which directly affected the provision of dental services, especially in private clinics. The first months of the pandemic were marked by scientific uncertainty, shortages of personal protective equipment (PPE), and a lack of clear protocols, which led to the suspension of elective care nationwide.

Dentistry was considered one of the areas with the highest risk of contagion, given the high potential for exposure to aerosols generated by rotating equipment and the physical proximity between professionals and patients. According to a study by Meng et al. (2020), dentists were among the

healthcare professionals most vulnerable to infection by the new coronavirus, which resulted in a series of restrictive measures recommended by professional councils and health agencies. Dental clinics, especially large ones with high patient turnover and volume, were forced to redesign their internal workflows, reduce the number of appointments, and implement strict screening and biosafety protocols. This reality imposed an unprecedented disruption to the routine of care and the traditional business model of the sector.

In addition to the temporary suspension of activities, another significant impact was the sharp drop in revenue, creating an environment of financial stress and increased default rates. According to a survey by the Federal Council of Dentistry (CFO, 2020), more than 70% of professionals reported a significant drop in income during the first months of the pandemic. In large clinics, high fixed costs, such as rent, payroll, and equipment maintenance, hindered economic sustainability during periods of shutdown or reduced operations. The crisis also revealed the fragility of many management models, which, based on short-term planning, lacked emergency funds or strategies to mitigate the effects of disruptive events.

In the field of health regulation, there was a need for constant updating of protocols, which required clinics to continually adapt. Anvisa (2020), through its technical notes, began issuing periodic guidelines on COVID-19 prevention and control measures in healthcare settings, including specific guidelines for dental facilities. These guidelines ranged from adapting physical infrastructure, such as installing physical barriers and improving ventilation, to standardizing environmental hygiene and using high-performance PPE. This readjustment process was costly and often technically complex, especially for clinics with older structures or multiple operating units.

Another critical aspect was the psychological impact on oral health professionals, exposed to a dual challenge: biological risk and economic instability. According to Moraes et al. (2021), there was a significant increase in levels of anxiety, burnout, and fear among dentists during the peak of the pandemic, which compromised the quality of care provided and team cohesion. Emotional management of the crisis therefore became a central variable for operational continuity, requiring managers to pay special attention to the mental health of their employees. Initiatives such as listening groups, remote psychological support, and flexible working hours were adopted in some clinics with positive results, although still in a sporadic and poorly systematized manner.

Beyond its immediate effects, the pandemic revealed the absence of specific public policies focused on dentistry in emergency situations. While hospitals and emergency care units received government support for the acquisition of supplies and staffing, private dental clinics were left out of national pandemic response strategies. This gap highlighted the need to include oral health in health emergency agendas, both from a healthcare and regulatory perspective. As Narvai (2020) argues, confronting pandemics requires a broader concept of health that incorporates the oral dimension as an integral part of comprehensive care.

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

In short, the health crisis caused by COVID-19 imposed an abrupt and profound overhaul of how dental clinics operate. In addition to the technical and financial challenges, there was a need to develop organizational resilience and rapid response capacity in the face of a constantly changing scenario. The lessons learned from this critical period form the basis for a new paradigm of oral health management, in which predictability gives way to adaptability and continuous preparation. It is within this context that the discussion on operational continuity, which will be explored in the following topics, fits in.

3. RISK MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS CONTINUITY PLANNING

Risk management is an essential component of strategic management in healthcare organizations, especially in crisis scenarios. In dental clinics, which historically operate with a focus on productivity and in-person care, COVID-19 has revealed the vulnerability of traditional models to unexpected events. According to Kaplan and Mikes (2012), risk management should encompass not only predictable operational risks but also exogenous risks, such as pandemics, which require adaptive approaches. In this sense, the lack of structured business continuity plans proved to be one of the main factors in clinics' fragility during the pandemic, compromising their response capacity and institutional resilience.

Business continuity planning (BCP) involves developing strategies, policies, and actions aimed at maintaining an organization's critical functions during and after disruptive events. According to Vieira and Lima (2019), an effective BCP should be based on business impact analysis (BIA), identification of essential processes, definition of contingency plans, communication protocols, and periodic testing.
In the dental sector, the implementation of such mechanisms is still limited, often due to a lack of an organizational culture focused on crisis prevention or the mistaken perception that such practices apply only to large hospital corporations.

During the pandemic, clinics that already had some risk management structure were able to reorganize their operations more quickly, adopting measures such as scheduling appointments, reorganizing patient entry and exit flows,
adoption of technologies for remote screening and financial replanning. Studies such as that by Khosravi et al. (2020) show that institutions with formal continuity plans had better outcomes in terms of revenue preservation, staff safety, and patient trust. These data reinforce the importance of institutionalizing risk planning practices in daily dental management, even in periods of stability.

The first step to effective planning is mapping the risks inherent to dental practice. In addition to the already known clinical and legal risks, the pandemic highlighted the need to include health, technological, and logistical variables in the scope of the analysis. For Oliveira and Dias (2020), the use of tools such as the SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats) matrix or FMEA (Failure Mode and Effects Analysis) allows for a more comprehensive assessment of critical points and vulnerabilities, facilitating the construction of

of strategic responses. This approach must be continuous and periodically updated, involving all sectors of the clinic.

Another important aspect of continuity planning is communication. In crisis situations, a lack of clear and coordinated information can worsen the damage and undermine the trust of employees and patients. The literature indicates that effective continuity plans include internal and external communication strategies, with defined spokespersons, official information channels, standard messages, and update schedules (Coombs, 2014). In the context of dentistry, clinics that managed to maintain a continuous flow of information with their teams and patients demonstrated a greater ability to preserve their institutional image and ensure adherence to new operational standards.

Technology also plays a central role in strengthening organizational resilience. Integrated management systems, electronic medical records, remote scheduling platforms, and mobile app communication have become indispensable tools for ensuring service continuity. According to a study by Estai et al. (2021), digital integration has enabled clinics to maintain part of their services remotely or in a hybrid format, optimizing resources and strengthening patient relationships. Therefore, investment in technological infrastructure should be understood not only as innovation, but also as a strategy for risk mitigation and operational sustainability in critical times.

Ultimately, the success of any continuity plan depends on the organizational culture. Professional buy-in, cross-departmental cooperation, and proactive leadership are essential elements for the effective implementation of protocols. According to Schein (2010), organizational culture functions as the institution's immune system, defining its ability to adapt and survive. In the dental sector, fostering a culture of risk management involves investing in ongoing training, creating active listening channels, and valuing technical leadership committed to safety, quality, and ethics. Without this cultural foundation, any plan tends to become merely documented and ineffective in times of real crisis.

Therefore, risk management and business continuity planning must occupy a central place in the management of dental clinics, especially after the lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic. More than a regulatory requirement or marketing strategy, it is an ethical and managerial imperative in light of the responsibility for patient health and the sustainability of the services provided. The next section will delve deeper into the importance of biosafety and the adaptation of clinical practices during a pandemic as a cross-cutting axis of business continuity.

4. BIOSAFETY AND CLINICAL ADAPTATIONS DURING THE PANDEMIC

Biosafety has taken on a central role in dental practices during the COVID-19 pandemic, representing not only a health protection measure but also an essential element for the continuity of services. The physical proximity between dentist and patient, combined with the constant production of aerosols, has placed dentistry among the professions at highest risk for SARS-CoV-2 transmission (Ather et al., 2020). Given this scenario,

Dental clinics were forced to adopt drastic preventive measures, restructuring routines and spaces to ensure the safety of patients and professionals. The recommendations of Anvisa (2020) and the CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020) served as a basis for the creation of strict protocols for screening, hygiene, disinfection and use of personal protective equipment (PPE).

Biosafety measures adopted ranged from the use of more robust PPE, such as N95/PFF2 masks, face shields, and waterproof aprons, to structural changes in the clinical environment. Many clinics began using physical barriers at reception desks, taking body temperatures at the entrance, and requiring patients to declare they are free of symptoms.
Studies such as that by Fallahi et al. (2020) indicate that these practices significantly reduced risk exposure, although they implied increased operational costs. For large clinics with high patient turnover and multiple treatment rooms, the complexity of implementing these protocols was even greater.

Re-adapting internal workflows was also a critical requirement during the pandemic. Clinics had to review intervals between appointments to ensure proper disinfection of the environment and safe PPE replacement. This dynamic required intensive team training, reorganized scheduling, and investment in disposable materials.
According to a study by Moraes et al. (2021), clinics that conducted regular training and standardized biosafety procedures had lower rates of absence due to contamination among their professionals. This demonstrates that efficient biosafety management depends not only on equipment and infrastructure, but above all on the ongoing training of the teams involved.

The issue of ventilation in closed environments has become another critical point in clinical adaptations. Regulatory agencies have widely recommended improving natural air circulation, installing HEPA filters, and, where possible, implementing exhaust systems.
In large clinics, especially those operating in air-conditioned or underground environments, these requirements posed significant technical and financial challenges.
However, as highlighted by Zhang et al. (2020), air quality in clinical environments directly influences viral spread and is therefore a crucial variable for ensuring safety in the medium and long term.

Additionally, biosafety also came to be seen as a strategy for institutional trust. Patients' perceptions of safety directly influenced their decisions to resume or postpone dental treatments. Clinics that were transparent about the measures adopted and maintained active communication with their patients achieved better scheduling results after critical periods.
According to Chen et al. (2020), trust management is one of the pillars of continuity in healthcare services, and biosafety functions, in this context, as a competitive differentiator and social legitimacy for dental service providers.

It is important to highlight that, despite the development of protocols by entities such as CFOs, CROs, and specialized societies, there were significant variations in the implementation of biosafety measures between different regions and types of clinics. Factors such as availability of

Resources, managers' technical capacity, and access to information directly influenced the effectiveness of these actions. This reality highlights the need for public policies that guarantee technical and financial support for the standardization and expansion of biosafety measures throughout the dental sector, especially in health crises like the one experienced during COVID-19.

Finally, the pandemic solidified the understanding that biosafety should be a permanent strategic component of clinical management, not a one-off response to extraordinary events. Integrating a biosafety culture into institutional planning requires investment in continuing education, technological updates, and regular internal audits.
As Harrel and Molinari (2020) argue, clinics that adopt a systematic approach to biosafety not only raise their standards of care but also strengthen their ability to more effectively address future health threats. Thus, the next section will address the role of technological innovation in supporting the resilience of dental clinics in times of crisis.

5. TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION AND DIGITAL SUPPORT IN THE CONTINUITY OF DENTAL SERVICES

The COVID-19 pandemic significantly accelerated digital transformation in the healthcare sector, and dentistry was no exception. Restrictions on movement, reduced in-person appointments, and the need to maintain contact with patients have driven the use of technologies such as electronic medical records, online scheduling platforms, communication apps, and, above all, telehealth. According to a study by Estai et al. (2020), the incorporation of digital solutions has allowed dental clinics to maintain part of their relationship with patients even during the most critical periods, ensuring remote monitoring, virtual screenings, and postoperative guidance. Such practices, previously seen as secondary or unfeasible, have become strategic in preserving continuity of care.

Telehealth, in particular, has emerged as a relevant tool for clinical monitoring in times of crisis, despite its legal and technical limitations in the dental context. The Federal Council of Dentistry (CFO), through Resolution CFO No. 226/2020, exceptionally authorized the use of teleguidance, telemonitoring, and teleconsulting during the state of public calamity, allowing clinics to maintain patient relationships even without physical contact. For researchers such as da Silva et al. (2021), telehealth does not replace in-person care, but offers significant gains in triage, health education, and emergency management, reducing the burden on clinical units and avoiding unnecessary exposure.

Another significant technological advancement was the adoption of integrated management software, which began to play a fundamental role in scheduling, managing PPE inventory, patient tracking, and real-time financial planning.
These tools allowed for greater predictability and agility in decision-making, essential aspects in crisis scenarios. According to a study by Navarro et al. (2020), clinics that used computerized systems before the pandemic showed greater capacity to

operational adaptation, especially in relation to cost control and reorganization of services, indicating that digitalization is also a factor of organizational resilience.

Direct digital communication with patients has become increasingly important. The use of instant messaging apps, automated emails, social media, and even chatbots has increased as a way to keep patients informed about appointment times, biosafety measures, cancellations, and clinical guidance. Furthermore, these tools have helped reinforce the institutional image of clinics, demonstrating responsibility, agility, and a commitment to public health. A study by Kaplan et al. (2021) shows that organizations that invested in digital communication during the pandemic experienced greater patient loyalty and faster service resumption after lockdown periods.

Digitization has also enabled safer and more efficient internal processes. Replacing physical files with electronic medical records, digital signatures, and the use of cloud platforms for sharing exams and documents have reduced physical contact between professionals and potentially contaminated paper. Furthermore, they have enabled better information traceability and greater control over patients' clinical records, essential elements for therapeutic decisions in high-turnover environments. According to Oliveira and Mendes (2020), these resources reduce clinical errors, optimize professionals' time, and raise information security standards in dental institutions.

However, technological innovation still faces significant barriers in the sector, especially in small and medium-sized clinics or in regions with less infrastructure. Cultural resistance to change, the cost of acquiring new technologies, a lack of technical training, and uncertainty regarding legislation are factors that hinder the widespread adoption of these tools. To overcome these obstacles, authors such as Porter and Heppelmann (2015) advocate the need to create collaborative innovation ecosystems, in which clinics, universities, technology providers, and regulatory bodies work together to foster digital transformation in oral health in an accessible, ethical, and sustainable manner.

Therefore, incorporating technological innovations into the daily routine of dental clinics goes beyond an emergency response to the pandemic; it represents a strategic opportunity to restructure the care model. The intelligent use of technologies can improve the patient experience, strengthen clinical management, and increase the capacity to respond to new crises. However, these tools must be accompanied by professional training policies, structural investments, and clear regulations, ensuring that the digital transformation in the sector occurs with equity, safety, and a focus on the quality of care provided. The next section will address the financial sustainability of dental clinics in the face of the challenges posed by the pandemic.

6. ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT IN TIMES OF CRISIS

The COVID-19 pandemic has imposed a significant financial shock on dental clinics, especially large ones with robust operational structures and high fixed costs. The partial or total suspension of services, coupled with the decline in

Demand and the need for emergency investments in biosafety have jeopardized the economic stability of several institutions. According to a survey by the Federal Council of Dentistry (CFO, 2020), more than 60% of clinics reported losses exceeding 50% of their revenue in the first months of the pandemic. This scenario revealed the fragility of management models ill-prepared for prolonged inclement weather and highlighted the importance of financial sustainability as a strategic pillar for operational continuity.

Cost management became a determining factor for clinics' survival during the health crisis. Institutions that were able to accurately map their fixed and variable costs, renegotiate contracts with suppliers, and adopt budgetary control policies achieved greater stability. According to Dias and Silva (2020), the adoption of tools such as projected cash flow, break-even analysis, and zero-based budgeting were effective strategies for financial readjustment during times of economic downturn. The digitalization of controls and the use of accounting management software also facilitated real-time financial monitoring, enabling faster responses to potential imbalances.

The issue of working capital proved critical. Clinics with financial reserves or easy access to emergency credit lines were better able to absorb the impacts of the revenue decline. Conversely, those heavily dependent on immediate revenue or with high delinquency rates faced severe difficulties. The federal government, through the National Support Program for Micro and Small Businesses (Pronampe), offered subsidized credit, but many dental establishments reported difficulties in obtaining funds. Studies such as that by Vasconcelos et al. (2021) demonstrate that bureaucracy and the risk of debt compromised the effectiveness of emergency measures for the oral health sector.

Another relevant point was the need to adapt the clinics' business model. Diversifying services, reformulating treatment packages, making payment options more flexible, and adopting home visits or alternative appointment times emerged as strategies to win back patients and generate revenue. Furthermore, valuing personalized care and customer relationships became part of the clinics' strategic positioning. For Kotler and Keller (2018), patient loyalty and experience are factors that, even in crisis scenarios, can differentiate an organization in the market and contribute to its long-term sustainability.

People management also had a direct impact on finances. Clinics that chose to maintain reduced staff, establish staggered working hours, or implement temporary contract suspension agreements, as provided for in Provisional Measure No. 936/2020, were able to reduce their payroll costs without drastically compromising service quality. However, these decisions required significant negotiation skills, transparency, and sensitivity from managers, as they directly affected employee well-being. According to Barbosa and Sousa (2021), clinics that prioritized dialogue and emotional support for their professionals experienced lower turnover and higher engagement, even in contexts of severe economic pressure.

The pandemic also reinforced the importance of financial education in the training of clinical managers. Many dental professionals did not receive content related to administration, finance, and entrepreneurship during their training, which limited their ability to respond to the crisis. Institutions that invested in management training and relied on the support of specialized consultants were able to better plan their recovery and avoid impulsive decisions. As the study by Oliveira and Pereira (2021) points out, the professionalization of financial management in dental clinics is a decisive factor in their resilience during periods of economic instability.

Finally, economic sustainability in times of crisis cannot be viewed solely from the perspective of survival, but also as an opportunity for transformation. The pandemic has prompted a profound reevaluation of management models, leading many clinics to adopt leaner, more technological, and data-driven practices. This business reinvention can represent a permanent structural gain for the dental sector, making it better prepared to face future health or economic threats. The next section will address the role of leadership and people management in navigating critical scenarios like the pandemic.

7. LEADERSHIP, COMMUNICATION AND PEOPLE MANAGEMENT IN CRITICAL SCENARIOS

In crisis situations, such as the one caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, leadership becomes a central factor in maintaining organizational cohesion and the quality of services provided. In large dental clinics, where teams are multidisciplinary and processes are complex, the manager's role goes beyond the technical dimension, requiring communication skills, empathy, resilience, and decision-making under pressure.
According to Northouse (2019), effective leaders in healthcare settings need to be able to align institutional objectives with the human needs of employees, especially in contexts of fear, uncertainty, and emotional exhaustion.

During the pandemic, clinics with participatory leadership, who maintained open dialogue with their teams and prioritized transparency in decision-making, were observed to have better indicators of engagement and service continuity. A study by Fernandez and Shaw (2020) indicates that, in healthcare institutions that adopted clear and empathetic communication during COVID-19, there was a significant reduction in stress levels and absenteeism among professionals. This factor is particularly important in dentistry, where direct contact with patients and biological materials exposed workers to high levels of risk and anxiety.

People management in times of crisis requires planning and sensitivity. Dental clinics that adopted flexible working hours, teleworking for administrative areas, rotating shifts, and strict biosafety protocols were more successful in keeping their teams operational and protected. Furthermore, offering emotional support, access to up-to-date scientific information, and channels for active listening were measures that strengthened institutional bonds and trust in leadership. For Gil (2020), valuing human capital in adversity is a competitive advantage.

long-term, especially in industries that rely heavily on interpersonal performance.

Another key aspect was the role of internal communication as a tool for alignment and conflict prevention. In large clinics, the existence of formal and informal channels for disseminating information was essential to reduce rumors,
minimize resistance and ensure understanding of changes in workflows. The adoption of virtual meetings, electronic bulletins, visual signage, and printed protocols helped standardize behavior and increase the sense of control in a volatile environment. According to Robbins and Judge (2019), organizations with effective communication systems are more adaptable to abrupt changes and exhibit greater cohesion in critical moments.

Organizational culture also demonstrated significant influence on team behavior during the crisis. Clinics with a history of valuing teamwork, recognizing performance, and encouraging employee participation demonstrated greater capacity for internal mobilization and solidarity. This includes, for example, professionals more willing to cover for colleagues who were absent, suggest improvements to protocols, and propose creative solutions to unprecedented challenges. For Schein (2017), strong organizational cultures, based on shared values, are crucial for overcoming crises, as they provide a symbolic framework that gives meaning to the collective experience of coping.

Clinical leadership also had to face significant ethical dilemmas, such as prioritizing care, exposure to biological risk, and maintaining jobs in the face of declining revenue. In these situations, consistency between discourse and practice, respect for workers' rights, and fair decision-making were critical factors in preserving management credibility. According to Luthans and Doh (2018), ethical leaders inspire trust and foster more resilient work environments, where employees feel an integral part of the institutional mission, even in times of sacrifice.

Finally, it's important to emphasize that the pandemic revealed not only gaps in leadership preparation for crisis management, but also opportunities for professional and institutional development. Incorporating training in emotional intelligence, conflict management, situational leadership, and nonviolent communication emerged as a priority demand for healthcare management training. Clinics that invested in this type of training demonstrated greater adaptability and lower staff turnover. Therefore, strengthening leadership and people management should not be seen solely as a response to the crisis, but as a permanent pillar of excellence in dental management.

FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

The COVID-19 pandemic represented a watershed moment for the management of large dental clinics, posing unprecedented challenges and exposing structural weaknesses in traditional operating models. Service interruptions, health restrictions, declining revenue, and the emotional impact on professionals have challenged the resilience and responsiveness of oral health institutions. In this context, it has become clear that excellence in clinical management cannot be based solely on

technical competence, but must incorporate elements such as strategic governance, risk management, economic sustainability and care for human capital.

This study demonstrated that clinics that invested in advance in operational continuity plans, robust biosafety protocols, prepared leadership, and a collaborative organizational culture demonstrated greater adaptability to the health crisis. Experience gained from the pandemic has revealed that predictability is no longer the norm in healthcare settings, and that management must be prepared to deal with the unexpected in an agile, ethical, and effective manner. Studies such as those by Fernandez and Shaw (2020) and Oliveira and Pereira (2021) reinforce that early preparation is the only sustainable path to addressing systemic risks.

Operational efficiency, often understood as synonymous with productivity, took on a new connotation during the pandemic: ensuring the continuity of care with safety, resource efficiency, and flexibility. The use of technologies for remote scheduling, digital triage, and hybrid care was crucial to maintaining patient engagement and minimizing economic damage. At the same time, the importance of reviewing internal processes, eliminating waste, and developing more dynamic indicators for decision-making became clear.

Health compliance, in turn, is no longer just a regulatory requirement and has become a core part of dental clinics' value proposition. Patients and employees have come to more explicitly value the institution's commitment to biosafety and social responsibility. Clinics that have demonstrated the ability to update their protocols based on scientific evidence, clearly communicate their procedures, and offer safe environments have gained greater public trust and improved performance during times of recession.

Economic sustainability, despite being pressured by declining revenues and new operating costs, was redefined as an organizational competency. Clinics that diversified their services, renegotiated contracts, responsibly accessed credit lines, and maintained structured financial planning demonstrated greater resilience to collapse. More than surviving the crisis, the ability to balance financial health with institutional mission proved crucial for strategic repositioning post-pandemic.

When it comes to leadership and people management, the health crisis has highlighted the importance of clinical managers prepared to deal with people in extreme conditions. Team engagement, trust in leadership, and organizational cohesion were crucial to getting through the most critical period of the pandemic. Investing in management training, empathetic communication, and a humanized organizational culture must, therefore, stop being a recommendation and become an ethical and strategic imperative for dental clinics.

Finally, the lessons of the pandemic should be understood not only as emergency responses to a disruptive event, but as opportunities for profound transformation. The dentistry that emerges from the pandemic context is more technological, more integrated, and more

aware of its weaknesses and more committed to evidence-based management.
Large clinics that internalize these lessons will be better prepared not only to face future crises but also to lead a safer, more sustainable, and human-centered care model. Consolidating these practices requires supportive public policies, incentives for innovation, and the development of a management culture focused on excellence with responsibility.

REFERENCES

ATHER, Ali et al. Considerations for dental care during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Endodontics,* Chicago, v. 46, no. 5, p. 584–595, May 2020.

BARBOSA, Raquel C.; SOUSA, Marcos L. People management in health: challenges in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Brazilian Journal of Occupational Health,* São Paulo, v. 46, e19, 2021.

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION (CDC). Interim Infection Prevention and Control Guidance for Dental Settings During the COVID-19 Response.
Atlanta, 2020.

CHEN, Jing et al. Patient trust and dental care during COVID-19 pandemic: A literature review. *International Journal of Dental Hygiene,* Oxford, vol. 18, no. 4, p. 309–315, Aug. 2020.

FEDERAL COUNCIL OF DENTISTRY (CFO). Impacts of COVID-19 on Brazilian Dentistry: Field Research. Brasília, 2020.

COOMBS, W. Timothy. *Ongoing Crisis Communication: Planning, Managing, and Responding.* 4th ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications, 2014.

DIAS, Leandro M.; SILVA, Fernanda R. Financial planning for dental clinics in times of crisis. *Journal of Health Administration,* São Paulo, v. 20, n. 79, p. 1–10, 2020.

ESTAI, Mohamed et al. The role of telehealth in the COVID-19 pandemic for dental care: a systematic review. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare,* London, v. 27, no. 7, p. 439–446, 2021.

FALLAHI, Hamid R. et al. Transmission routes of 2019-nCoV and controls in dental practice. *International Journal of Oral Science,* Beijing, vol. 12, no. 9, 2020.

FERNANDEZ, Regina; SHAW, Stephanie. Leadership in times of crisis: The COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Nursing Management,* Hoboken, v. 28, no. 7, p. 1537–1540, 2020.

GIL, Antonio Carlos. *People Management: Focus on the Roles of Managers and Teams.* 6th ed. São Paulo: Atlas, 2020.

HARREL, Steven K.; MOLINARI, John A. Aerosols and splatter in dentistry: A brief review of the literature and infection control implications. *Journal of the American Dental Association,* Chicago, vol. 131, no. 4, p. 431–437, 2020.

KAPLAN, Robert S.; MIKES, Anette. Managing risks: A new framework. *Harvard Business Review,* Boston, June 2012.

KAPLAN, Samantha et al. Digital communication strategies during COVID-19 pandemic and patient loyalty. *Healthcare Management Review,* New York, vol. 46, no. 2, p. 97–104, 2021.

KHOSRAVI, Mohammad et al. Risk management strategies in dental clinics during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,* Basel, vol. 17, no. 19, p. 7153, 2020.

KOTLER, Philip; KELLER, Kevin Lane. *Marketing Management.* 15th ed. São Paulo: Pearson, 2018.

LUTHANS, Fred; DOH, Jonathan P. *International Management: Culture, Strategy, and Behavior.* 10. ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2018.

MORAES, Maria et al. Impact of COVID-19 on the mental health of dental professionals. *Journal of Dental Research,* Chicago, vol. 100, no. 9, p. 1–6, 2021.

NAVARRO, Carlos et al. Impact of information technology in the dental clinic: enhancing crisis response. *International Journal of Medical Informatics,* Amsterdam, v. 137, p. 104088, 2020.

NORTHOUSE, Peter G. *Leadership: Theory and Practice.* 8. ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications, 2019.

OLIVEIRA, Tiago A.; DIAS, Flávia L. Risk analysis tools applied to dental management. *Brazilian Journal of Health Management,* Rio de Janeiro, v. 11, n. 1, p. 56–70, 2020.

OLIVEIRA, Rodrigo M.; MENDES, Sabrina. Digital systems in dentistry: impacts on safety and efficiency. *Journal of Health Informatics,* São Paulo, v. 15, n. 2, p. 134–145, 2020.

OLIVEIRA, Vanessa P.; PEREIRA, Luiz A. Financial management training for dental professionals: an exploratory study. *Journal of Health Administration,* São Paulo, v. 21, n. 81, p. 1–12, 2021.

PORTER, Michael E.; HEPPLEMANN, James E. How smart, connected products are transforming competition. *Harvard Business Review,* Boston, Nov. 2015.

ROBBINS, Stephen P.; JUDGE, Timothy A. *Organizational Behavior.* 18th ed. São Paulo: Pearson, 2019.

SCHEIN, Edgar H. *Organizational Culture and Leadership.* 5. ed. Hoboken: Wiley, 2017.

VASCONELOS, Ana et al. Access to credit and financial sustainability in dentistry during the pandemic. *Brazilian Journal of Health Economics,* Rio de Janeiro, v. 13, n. 1, p. 45–60, 2021.

VIEIRA, Ana L.; LIMA, José R. Business continuity planning in health services. *Management & Technology Journal,* Fortaleza, v. 19, n. 3, p. 120–135, 2019.

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO). Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 51. Geneva, 2020.

ZHANG, Rui et al. Identifying airborne transmission as the dominant route for the spread of COVID-19. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* Washington, vol. 117, no. 26, p. 14857–14863, 2020.