Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year II, v.1 2022. | submission: September 19, 2022 | accepted: September 21, 2022 | publication: September 23, 2022

Evolution of Ophthalmic Lens Materials: From Glass to High-Performance Polycarbonate Performance

Evolution of Ophthalmic Lens Materials: From Glass to High-Performance Polycarbonate

Author: Brandon Borges Dias

Optics Format by the Filadelfia Educational Group.

Summary

The evolution of materials used in the manufacture of ophthalmic lenses represents a milestone in the history of science applied to visual health. From the early days of glass lenses, which dominated the market for centuries, to the development of polymers such as polycarbonate and contemporary high-performance materials, a transformation has been observed, driven by the pursuit of lightness, strength, and wearer comfort. This article analyzes the historical and scientific trajectory of this evolution, highlighting technological, optical, and safety aspects, based on classic and recent studies from the international literature. The contributions of researchers such as Hecht (2002), who analyzed the classical optics of lenses, are discussed, as well as the advances reported by Smith (2019) on polymers applied to vision. The research highlights that the transition from glass to polymers is not limited to a market issue, but reflects significant advances in materials science, social impact, and quality of life.

Keywords: ophthalmic lenses; glass; polycarbonate; technological evolution; materials science.

Abstract

The evolution of materials used in ophthalmic lens manufacturing represents a milestone in the history of applied science for visual health. From the early dominance of glass lenses for centuries to the development of polymers such as polycarbonate and modern high-performance materials, this trajectory reflects the pursuit of lighter, safer, and more comfortable solutions. This article analyzes the historical and scientific path of this evolution, highlighting technological, optical, and safety aspects, based on classical and contemporary literature. Contributions from researchers such as Hecht (2002), who analyzed classical optics, and Smith (2019), who investigated polymers applied to vision, are highlighted. The improved research demonstrates that the transition from glass to polymers is not merely a market-driven trend but a result of scientific advances in material science with direct social impact and quality of life.

Keywords: ophthalmic lenses; glass; polycarbonate; technological evolution; material science.

1. Historical Introduction: The Dominance of Glass in Ophthalmic Lenses

The use of ophthalmic lenses dates back to the 13th century, when historical records indicate that Italian monks and scholars developed the first glass reading lenses, used as a visual aid for presbyopia. According to Cronenberg (1996), the predominant material during this period was glass, due to its ease of molding and relative transparency, already known in the production of stained glass and luxury objects. Initial use was restricted to the intellectual and religious elite, but it already indicated the relevance this instrument would have for society. For centuries, glass was considered the only viable alternative for optical correction, being associated not only with scientific advancement but also with social status.

In the 15th century, with Gutenberg's invention of the printing press, the need for corrective lenses expanded significantly. Increased access to books required people with visual impairments to seek alternatives for reading and studying, increasing the demand for glass lenses. According to Hecht (2002), this expansion marked the beginning of the popularization of optics applied to everyday life, even if still restricted to a small portion of the population. Glass, due to its availability and tradition of artisanal work, established itself as the raw material of choice, despite its limitations in weight and fragility.

The Renaissance brought not only cultural and artistic advances, but also scientific ones. During this period, the study of light by figures such as Johannes Kepler and René Descartes was fundamental to understanding refraction and establishing the foundations of geometric optics. Meyer-Arendt (1998) emphasizes that the mathematical understanding of refraction allowed for improvements in glass lens cutting techniques, making them more effective in correcting myopia, hyperopia, and astigmatism. The consolidation of glass as a unique material was linked to its ability to maintain dimensional stability and optical clarity compared to other materials available at the time.

During the 17th and 18th centuries, lens manufacturing became more industrial in nature. Artisanal workshops gave way to specialized manufacturers that improved glass homogeneity, reducing bubbles and impurities. Jenkins and White (1981) point out that this improvement represented a qualitative leap for ophthalmology, as it allowed the manufacture of thinner and more precise lenses. Furthermore, glass continued to be valued for its durability and relative ease of polishing, essential characteristics for maintaining optical quality.

With the Industrial Revolution in the 19th century, glass lens production expanded globally. Increased urbanization and changing lifestyles resulted in a higher prevalence of diagnosed vision problems, generating growing demand. According to Smith (2019), glass supported this expansion because it was considered the most reliable material, even though glasses were heavy and uncomfortable. This factor illustrates how materials science was subordinated to social needs, even in the face of practical limitations.

By the early 20th century, glass had already achieved a dominant and unquestionable position in the optical market. However, its fragility in the face of drops and impacts began to raise safety concerns, especially when used by children and industrial workers. Studies by Resnikoff et al. (2008) highlight that accidents related to shattered glass lenses were common, revealing the need for innovation in materials. Despite this, cultural and scientific tradition maintained glass as a symbol of quality and visual clarity.

Authors such as Born and Wolf (1999) emphasize that glass possessed a legacy consolidated by classical optical science. Its high stability and optical predictability assured confidence among ophthalmologists and patients, even though technological advances in other fields already indicated the possibility of alternatives. The predominance of glass was, therefore, the result of a balance between tradition, scientific knowledge, and the lack of safer and lighter options.

Thus, until the mid-20th century, glass remained the standard material for ophthalmic lenses, representing both the conservatism of a consolidated practice and the foundation upon which new discoveries would be based. This historical period demonstrates how science is built on available materials and how the practical limitations of glass paved the way for a significant transformation, culminating in the arrival of polymers. This initial milestone is essential for understanding the magnitude of the evolution of materials in contemporary optics.

2. Optical Properties of Glass and Their Advances

Glass has distinguished itself as an optical material not only for its historical tradition but also for physical and optical properties that have ensured reliability for centuries. One of its main advantages is its high refractive index, which allows for the manufacture of thinner lenses compared to materials with lower optical density. Hecht (2002) points out that indexes above 1.6 were common, making glass especially advantageous for high prescriptions.
This allowed for more discreet corrections, albeit with considerable weight.

Another relevant aspect is the low chromatic dispersion of glass compared to other materials, which minimizes chromatic aberrations perceived by the user. Born and Wolf (1999) explain that the high Abbe number of glass ensures greater fidelity in image formation, a characteristic especially important in high-power lenses. This advantage consolidated glass as the benchmark for optical quality until the advent of more advanced plastic materials.

Furthermore, glass has high chemical stability, being resistant to environmental variations and aging. Jenkins and White (1981) point out that, unlike organic polymers, glass does not undergo significant deformations in response to variations in temperature and humidity, which

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

which guarantees the product's longevity. This characteristic was essential at a time when surface treatments were incipient or nonexistent.

However, its high weight and mechanical fragility were the biggest limitations of glass.
High-index lenses, although thinner, still resulted in heavy and uncomfortable glasses, directly impacting adherence to their use. Studies by Rabinowitz (1996) indicate that spontaneous fracture was a constant risk, especially in impact situations. This factor was decisive for researchers to seek alternatives capable of combining lightness and strength.

From a technological perspective, the 19th and early 20th centuries witnessed significant advances in optical glass manufacturing. Meyer-Arendt (1998) describes how industrial processes reduced impurities and bubbles, providing greater optical homogeneity. This enabled the creation of multifocal and progressive lenses in glass, representing a qualitative leap in vision correction. Despite its mechanical disadvantages, glass remained synonymous with optical excellence.

Another factor worth highlighting is the possibility of manipulating the refractive index of glass through chemical additives. Hecht (2002) mentions that the introduction of metal oxides, such as lead oxide, altered the optical and mechanical properties of the material, expanding its applications. This compositional flexibility ensured glass's even greater longevity as an optical reference material.

However, the development of the automotive industry and the increase in traffic accidents highlighted the safety limitations of glass in ophthalmic lenses. Resnikoff et al. (2008) report that cases of partial or total blindness related to glass fragments were not uncommon, especially in children. Growing social concern pressured the industry to develop safer alternatives, paving the way for organic polymers.

Thus, although glass offered unquestionable advantages in terms of optical quality, its fragility and discomfort determined the beginning of its replacement. Understanding these properties, as described by Born and Wolf (1999), Hecht (2002), and Meyer-Arendt (1998), allows us to understand that the evolution of optical materials is not limited to technical advances, but rather a response to social demands for safety, practicality, and comfort. Glass was, therefore, both a point of arrival for classical science and a starting point for innovation in ophthalmic materials.

3. The Transition to Organic Materials: CR-39 and Its Contributions

The introduction of organic materials into the optical industry represented a quiet but immensely impactful revolution. Until the 1940s, glass reigned supreme as the raw material for lenses, despite its weight and fragility. It was in this context that CR-39 polymer, developed by PPG Industries, emerged as an innovative alternative. Originally created for military applications in fuel tanks during World War II, the material attracted attention for its lightness, stability, and optical clarity (Rabinowitz, 1996). The name CR-39 refers to “Columbia Resin #39,” indicating its position in a series of experiments with phenolic resins.

Optically, CR-39 had a refractive index of approximately 1.498, lower than that of glass but sufficient to meet low- and medium-power prescriptions. According to Jalie (2015), this value, combined with an Abbe number of approximately 58, provided excellent optical quality, reducing chromatic aberrations and ensuring visual comfort for the user. The combination of lightness and optical quality paved the way for the material's rapid acceptance by consumers and eye care professionals. Unlike glass, the risk of shattering accidents was drastically reduced.

Another distinguishing feature of the CR-39 was the ability to be manufactured in molds, rather than through the traditional glass grinding process. This technological change reduced costs, expanded production scale, and allowed for greater standardization of lens quality. Smith (2019) emphasizes that this advancement represented a true democratization of access to lighter and more comfortable eyewear, especially in developing countries. Furthermore, the molding flexibility paved the way for the development of new designs, such as aspherical and progressive lenses.

From a safety perspective, CR-39 surpassed glass in impact resistance, although it still presented vulnerability in extreme situations. Studies conducted in the 1960s indicated that, despite being safer, the material could fragment in severe accidents, limiting its application in industrial and sports settings (Larkin, 2004). However, for everyday use, especially in prescription eyeglasses, CR-39 offered undeniable advantages. Its widespread adoption redefined the standards for comfort and safety in ophthalmic lenses.

One of the CR-39's greatest contributions was enabling the application of surface coatings, such as anti-reflective and scratch-resistant layers. While glass already had good intrinsic strength, polymers required additional technologies to achieve similar durability. According to Charman (2018), this need spurred research in surface engineering, which has become an indispensable part of the contemporary optical industry.

Thus, CR-39 not only brought benefits in itself, but also stimulated advances in related areas.

From an economic perspective, CR-39 has established itself as a strategic solution for reducing production costs. By requiring less energy compared to glass casting and polishing, the material has favored industrial sustainability. Pascolini and Mariotti (2012) emphasize that this accessibility has expanded the coverage of optical services in underserved regions, contributing to public eye health policies. Thus, the impact of CR-39 was not only technological but also social, reaching populations previously excluded from access to quality eyewear.

Despite its advantages, CR-39 lenses faced limitations in high-power prescriptions due to the resulting thickness of the lenses. Hecht (2002) explains that, in cases of severe myopia, CR-39 lenses became bulky and aesthetically uncomfortable. This factor highlighted the

the need to seek materials with higher refractive indices while maintaining lightness and strength. Thus, the CR-39's trajectory should be understood as an intermediate milestone in evolution, paving the way for polycarbonate and high-performance materials.

Therefore, the advent of CR-39 represented a historical and scientific transition in ophthalmic optics. It didn't immediately replace glass, but it initiated a paradigm shift, in which safety, comfort, and accessibility became priorities. This polymer's contribution transcends material innovation: it symbolizes science's ability to respond to urgent social demands, transforming military technology into benefits for millions of people worldwide.

4. The Advancement of Polycarbonate: Safety and High Resistance

If CR-39 brought lightness and comfort, it was polycarbonate that redefined safety standards for ophthalmic lenses. Introduced to the optical market in the 1970s, polycarbonate was already used in aerospace and industrial applications due to its extraordinary impact resistance. According to Larkin (2004), it has a refractive index of approximately 1.586 and an Abbe number of around 30, characteristics that give it a balance between optical performance and mechanical robustness. This combination has made polycarbonate the ideal choice for children's, sports, and protective eyewear.

Polycarbonate's main advantage over glass and CR-39 is its unparalleled impact resistance. Normative studies based on ANSI Z87.1 demonstrate that polycarbonate lenses withstand metal projectile drop tests without fragmenting, protecting the eyes from serious injuries (Resnikoff et al., 2008). This property has led to its use in safety glasses for industrial environments and personal protective equipment, contributing to the preservation of eye health in high-risk environments.

Another advantage of polycarbonate is its lightness, even greater than that of CR-39. This allows for greater comfort during prolonged use, an essential factor for children and workers who require glasses daily. Jalie (2015) notes that the combination of lightness and resistance directly impacted adherence to use, reducing the rate of glasses abandonment due to discomfort. Thus, polycarbonate not only met functional needs but also promoted social inclusion and a better quality of life.

However, the material had optical disadvantages compared to glass and CR-39. The relatively low Abbe number resulted in greater chromatic dispersion, causing noticeable aberrations under certain conditions. Born and Wolf (1999) explain that these aberrations, although annoying, were mitigated by innovations in lens design and the introduction of anti-reflective and scratch-resistant coatings. Thus, the evolution of polycarbonate cannot be analyzed in isolation, but rather in conjunction with advances in optical engineering.

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

The adoption of polycarbonate was accelerated by public health policies and safety standards. The World Health Organization (WHO, 2019) highlighted the importance of using resistant eyewear in school and industrial settings to reduce visual accidents. This solidified the material as a benchmark in eye safety, establishing a global protection standard. Its impact extended beyond the individual, becoming part of collective health prevention strategies.

Furthermore, polycarbonate exhibits excellent natural absorption of ultraviolet radiation, protecting the eyes from the harmful effects of prolonged sun exposure. Young (2015) emphasizes that UV protection has become a decisive differentiator in lens selection, especially in tropical and sunny regions. This characteristic has positioned polycarbonate as a material aligned with contemporary concerns about preventative eye health.

Economically, polycarbonate initially had higher production costs compared to CR-39. However, its durability and versatility offset the investment, making it attractive to manufacturers and consumers. Pascolini and Mariotti (2012) point out that its cost-benefit ratio favored its expansion into emerging markets, where demand for safety glasses was growing rapidly. The material thus established itself not only for its technological advantages but also for its economic viability.

Ultimately, polycarbonate symbolizes the convergence of materials science, public health, and technological innovation. It overcame the glass paradigm and expanded on the contributions of CR-39, incorporating safety as a core value in ophthalmic optics. Although it still presents optical limitations, its social and scientific impact is undeniable, representing a watershed moment in the evolution of lenses. By establishing a new level of strength and protection, polycarbonate paved the way for the development of the high-performance materials that dominate the current market.

5. High Performance Materials: Trivex, MR-8 and New Frontiers

The introduction of high-performance materials into the optical industry occurred in response to the limitations of CR-39 and polycarbonate. Although these polymers represented significant advances, there were still unmet demands, such as the need for greater optical clarity, chemical resistance, dimensional stability, and visual comfort for high prescriptions. In this context, materials such as Trivex and MR-8 emerged, developed since the turn of the 21st century, marking a new level of technological sophistication. Jalie (2015) emphasizes that these materials were designed not only to address previous shortcomings but also to meet increasingly demanding consumers in terms of aesthetics, safety, and visual performance. This scenario reflects the contemporary logic of materials science, where innovation and customization go hand in hand.

Trivex , introduced by PPG Industries in 2001, is a urethane-based polymer that combines lightness, strength, and high transparency. Its refractive index of around 1.53, combined with a

Abbe number of 43–45, offers an excellent balance between optical performance and comfort.
Studies by Charman (2018) demonstrate that Trivex has superior properties to polycarbonate in terms of clarity, with less chromatic dispersion. Furthermore, its low density (1.11 g/cm³) makes it one of the lightest materials available, a factor that directly impacts user comfort in extended-wear glasses. This set of attributes has positioned Trivex as a benchmark for premium technology in the optical market.

A key differentiator of Trivex is its chemical resistance, especially against solvents, which represents an improvement over polycarbonate. According to Smith (2019), polycarbonate lenses tend to develop microcracks when exposed to common chemicals, such as cleaning sprays and mild solvents, which can compromise their durability. Trivex, on the other hand, offers superior stability, ensuring greater product longevity. This characteristic has expanded its use in contexts where resistance is not only mechanical but also chemical, such as in industrial or medical settings.

MR **-8,** developed by Mitsui Chemicals, has also established itself as a high-performance alternative, especially for higher prescriptions. With a refractive index of 1.60 and an Abbe number of around 41, MR-8 stands out for its ability to produce thinner lenses without compromising optical quality. Studies conducted by Resnikoff et al. (2008) show that clinical acceptance of MR-8 is associated with its ability to combine aesthetics and durability, reducing the stigma of the "enlarged look" caused by thick lenses in high prescriptions. This innovation represents not only a technical advancement but also a social transformation in the way people with severe ametropia relate to their glasses.

In addition to strength and optical clarity, both Trivex and MR-8 exhibit high absorption of ultraviolet radiation, protecting the retina against cumulative damage associated with sun exposure.
Young (2015) emphasizes that UV protection is essential in preventing diseases such as cataracts and age-related macular degeneration (AMD). This preventive functionality reinforces the importance of new materials not only in the field of corrective optics but also in promoting long-term eye health, highlighting their medical and social relevance.

Another notable aspect is the ability of these materials to receive advanced surface treatments, such as anti-reflective, anti-scratch coatings, and blue light filters. Mainster (2005) argues that exposure to high-energy blue light is associated with photochemical damage to the retina, making specific filters a fundamental feature in contemporary lenses. Trivex and MR-8, due to their molecular structure, offer greater adhesion to these coatings compared to polycarbonate, resulting in increased durability and greater visual comfort. This feature ensures that the lenses meet the needs of an increasingly digital world.

From an economic perspective, these materials represent an evolution in market positioning. Initially more expensive, Trivex and MR-8 have established themselves as value-added alternatives, serving a public willing to invest in quality and durability. Foster and Resnikoff (2005) state that the adoption of premium optical technologies is related to

Improvements in quality of life, as consumers value not only vision correction but also aesthetic comfort and eye protection. Thus, new materials transcend optical function, becoming aspirational products.

In short, the development of Trivex and MR-8 represents the culmination of a journey that began with glass and was enhanced by CR-39 and polycarbonate. These materials symbolize the maturity of polymer science applied to vision, offering a balance of optical clarity, safety, and strength. Their growing adoption on a global scale demonstrates how the evolution of optics aligns with contemporary social demands for comfort, aesthetics, and eye protection. More than just technical solutions, Trivex and MR-8 represent the integration of science, health, and lifestyle, paving the way for future innovations that could redefine the limits of human visual perception.

6. Surface Coatings and Treatments: From Anti-Reflective to Blue Light

The evolution of lens materials cannot be understood in isolation, as their advancement was directly related to the development of coatings and surface treatments.
Without them, even high-performance materials would have limited durability and performance. According to Charman (2018), coatings are now an inseparable part of ophthalmic lenses, playing an essential role in optical quality, eye protection, and product longevity. From the earliest anti-reflective coatings to modern blue light filters, these treatments reflect the convergence of materials science, surface engineering, and emerging social demands.

Anti-reflective coatings emerged as a response to one of the greatest limitations of lenses: excessive light reflection on polished surfaces. Jenkins and White (1981) demonstrated that a conventional lens can reflect up to 12% of incident light, reducing light transmission and creating visual discomfort. The application of metal oxide layers, through vacuum deposition, reduced these reflections to less than 1%. Hecht (2002) emphasizes that this advancement not only improved optical quality but also brought aesthetic benefits, as anti-reflective glasses allow greater visibility of the wearer's eyes.

Another significant advance was the development of **anti-scratch coatings,** essential especially for plastic materials such as CR-39 and polycarbonate, which are more susceptible to scratches than glass. Rabinowitz (1996) notes that the first generations of these coatings used layers of silicon or hardened polymers, capable of significantly increasing surface resistance. The application of these treatments extended the lifespan of lenses and was crucial to establishing organic materials in the market, making them viable alternatives in terms of durability.

Protection against **ultraviolet (UV) radiation** is another essential aspect in the evolution of surface treatments. Studies by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2019) warn that chronic exposure to UV radiation is associated with diseases such as cataracts, pterygium, and macular degeneration. Materials such as polycarbonate and Trivex already naturally block UV radiation, but CR-39 requires additional layers of protection. Young (2015) emphasizes that this characteristic is now considered essential, as eye prevention has become a priority in public health policies.

With the rise in the use of digital devices, the development of **high-energy blue light (HEV) filters has gained relevance.** According to Mainster (2005), prolonged exposure to blue light emitted by screens can cause digital eye fatigue and, in the long term, contribute to retinal damage. Coatings that selectively filter blue light without compromising color perception have become a differentiator in contemporary lenses. Recent studies indicate that these filters are associated with improved visual comfort and sleep quality, expanding the scope of benefits provided by lenses.

Coatings have also kept pace with aesthetic developments, meeting the demands of increasingly design-conscious consumers. Lenses with hydrophobic and oleophobic coatings, for example, ensure easier cleaning, preventing grease stains and dust buildup. Smith (2019) emphasizes that such features, albeit subtle, are crucial to the user experience, as they offer practicality for everyday use. The incorporation of these elements reflects the philosophy of consumer-centric innovation, typical of the contemporary optical industry.

From a scientific perspective, coatings represent the integration of physics, chemistry, and engineering. Charman (2018) points out that deposition methods have evolved from simple processes to advanced techniques, such as spin coating and plasma-assisted deposition, capable of ensuring greater adhesion and uniformity. These technological advances allow coatings to keep up with the flexibility of new materials, such as Trivex and MR-8, increasing their durability and optical efficiency. This is a clear example of how progress in different areas converges to achieve results that benefit vision.

From an economic perspective, the spread of surface coatings has become a competitive differentiator in the optical market. Foster and Resnikoff (2005) point out that, although they initially increased the price of the product, these features have come to be valued by consumers who associate quality with longevity and practicality. Furthermore, eye health programs in developed countries have begun recommending lenses with UV protection and anti-reflective coatings as a minimum quality standard. Thus, coatings have gone from being optional to essential requirements for high-performance lenses.

In short, coatings and surface treatments have transformed the way optical materials are perceived and used. More than just accessories, they represent fundamental advances that have expanded the functionality, aesthetics, and safety of lenses. The trajectory that began with simple anti-reflective coatings has evolved into complex protection systems.

reflecting science's commitment to responding to the changes of the modern world. The future of lenses cannot be separated from these features, which are already part of the concept of high-performance ophthalmic optics.

7. Social and Economic Impacts of Lens Evolution

The evolution of ophthalmic lens materials cannot be analyzed solely from a technical perspective, as their social and economic impacts are equally significant. From the dominance of glass to the introduction of CR-39, polycarbonate, and high-performance materials, each technological advancement has been associated with increased access to vision correction and improved quality of life. The World Health Organization (WHO, 2019) estimates that more than 2.2 billion people worldwide have some form of visual impairment, and nearly half of these cases could be prevented or corrected with adequate access to corrective lenses. This data reveals the social dimension of the issue, as the evolution of materials has directly influenced the ability to serve different populations, from schoolchildren to workers in high-risk environments.

The democratization of access to lenses was facilitated by the cost reduction resulting from the introduction of plastic materials. Pascolini and Mariotti (2012) highlight that the large-scale manufacture of CR-39 and polycarbonate lenses reduced the average price of glasses, making them accessible to previously excluded social groups. This factor is particularly relevant in developing countries, where the prevalence of uncorrected refractive errors is high and the social consequences are severe, including poor academic performance, exclusion from the labor market, and loss of economic productivity. Thus, the evolution of optical materials has contributed to reducing social inequalities and improving human development indicators.

In addition to its social impact, the optical industry is also a highly relevant economic sector. According to Foster and Resnikoff (2005), the global eyewear market generates billions of dollars annually, driven largely by innovation in materials and treatments. The launch of lighter, more durable, and aesthetically appealing lenses generates consumer cycles that stimulate the economy and encourage scientific research. Companies like Essilor, Zeiss, and Hoya continually invest in new polymers and coatings, creating an economic ecosystem that extends beyond healthcare, driving change in areas such as technology, fashion, and marketing.

Occupational safety is another fundamental aspect associated with the evolution of materials. Resnikoff et al. (2008) point out that replacing glass with polycarbonate has drastically reduced the number of eye injuries in industrial settings. Safety glasses with resistant lenses have become standardized in countries like the United States, where the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) establishes mandatory criteria for personal protective equipment. This represents not only public health benefits but also reduced costs related to lost time and accident compensation.

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

In the educational field, the impact of the evolution of lenses is equally notable. Children with undiagnosed visual impairments face significant obstacles in learning, which can compromise their entire academic development. The availability of lightweight, safe, and affordable glasses has expanded policies for distributing corrective lenses in public schools in various countries. The WHO (2019) and studies by Charman (2018) indicate that school health programs based on vision screenings and the distribution of glasses represent investments with a high social return, with positive impacts on academic performance and reduced school dropout rates.

Another important point is the relationship between aesthetics and social inclusion. The stigma associated with wearing glasses, particularly for high prescriptions, has been reduced with the introduction of high-index materials, such as MR-8. Jalie (2015) emphasizes that thinner, more aesthetically pleasing lenses have increased their use, especially among adolescents and young adults. This demonstrates that the evolution of materials responds not only to technical criteria, but also to social demands related to self-esteem and cultural acceptance. Technological innovation, in this sense, becomes a tool for inclusion and psychological well-being.

From a macroeconomic perspective, the expansion of the optical industry is directly linked to globalization and population aging. As life expectancy increases, the prevalence of presbyopia and other age-related visual conditions increases, increasing the demand for multifocal eyewear. Smith (2019) points out that high-performance materials have enabled the development of more comfortable and personalized progressive lenses, meeting the needs of an increasingly demanding public. This reinforces the strategic role of materials innovation as a driver of economic growth on a global scale.

Finally, the social and economic impacts of the evolution of ophthalmic lenses demonstrate that science and technology cannot be separated from human needs. The trajectory from glass to Trivex shows that innovation in materials is not limited to overcoming technical limitations, but involves transforming social realities and generating economic wealth. By reducing inequalities, preventing disease, increasing productivity, and promoting social inclusion, the evolution of lenses proves to be a paradigmatic example of how applied science can redefine the living conditions of millions of people worldwide.

Conclusion

Analyzing the evolution of ophthalmic lens materials, from glass to high-performance polycarbonate, allows us to understand not only a technical process, but also a trajectory deeply linked to the history of science, society, and the global economy. Glass, with its tradition and unquestionable optical quality, laid the foundations of classical optics, serving as a reference for centuries. However, its weight and fragility limitations paved the way for...

The search for safer and more comfortable alternatives culminated in the emergence of organic materials such as CR-39, which democratized access to lenses, and later polycarbonate, which solidified safety as a central criterion in the optical industry. Each stage of this evolution reflected the ability of materials science to respond to human needs creatively and effectively.

The arrival of high-performance materials, such as Trivex and MR-8, represented an even greater qualitative leap, combining lightness, strength, optical clarity, and compatibility with advanced surface treatments. These materials not only overcame the limitations of their predecessors but also opened up new possibilities for personalization and prevention in eye health. Studies such as those by Charman (2018) and Young (2015) show that the evolution of lenses goes beyond the field of vision correction, also positioning themselves as a preventive strategy against diseases and as an aesthetic element capable of influencing wearers' self-esteem. This is a multidimensional transformation, integrating science, medicine, economics, and culture.

From a social perspective, the democratization of access to safe and affordable eyewear has had profound impacts, especially in developing countries. The literature by Pascolini and Mariotti (2012) confirms that vision correction plays a central role in educational inclusion and increased labor productivity. In this sense, advances in optical materials are not only technological achievements, but also public health policies that directly affect human development indicators. The case of contact lenses demonstrates that technological innovation and social justice can go hand in hand when combined with distribution and access policies.

In the economic sphere, the evolution of lenses has fostered a multibillion-dollar global industry, capable of integrating sectors as diverse as technology, fashion, and healthcare. Foster and Resnikoff (2005) point out that continuous innovation generates cycles of consumption and competitiveness, stimulating investment in research and development. This dynamic places the optical industry among the clearest examples of how scientific advances can generate not only social benefits but also wealth and economic development on a global scale. The case of lenses is paradigmatic in demonstrating how science and the market can converge for mutual benefit.

Another essential aspect to highlight is the relationship between aesthetics and social acceptance. The evolution of materials has enabled people with severe ametropia to wear aesthetically pleasing glasses, reducing social stigma and increasing adherence to their use. This subjective, yet highly impactful, element was highlighted by Jalie (2015) as fundamental to understanding the true scope of innovation. Optical technology not only corrects vision but also contributes to the construction of an individual's identity and self-esteem. In this sense, it is a field that goes beyond technology and touches on the symbolic and cultural dimensions of human life.

Interdisciplinarity is another hallmark of the evolution of lenses. Physics, chemistry, materials engineering, medicine, and even design have converged to transform the way we see the world.
Born and Wolf (1999) had already indicated that optical science was one of the areas most prone to interdisciplinarity, and the trajectory of lenses confirms this prediction. This integration is today

visible not only in cutting-edge materials, but also in the way the optical industry responds quickly to new demands, such as the emergence of blue light filters in the face of the massive use of digital devices.

Therefore, when analyzing the trajectory of ophthalmic lenses, we realize that this was not a simple change in materials, but a true scientific and social revolution. Each advancement brought with it a reconfiguration of medical practices, public policies, and the daily experiences of millions of people. Glass represented the legacy of classical optics; CR-39, democratization; polycarbonate, safety; and Trivex and MR-8, personalization and performance. This sequence demonstrates how science is cumulative but also responsive, adapting to the cultural and technological transformations of each era.

It can therefore be concluded that the evolution of ophthalmic lens materials is one of the most significant examples of materials science's ability to transform human life. The future points to new frontiers, such as smart lenses integrated with digital technologies, but its historical foundation remains the ongoing scientific effort to combine clarity, safety, and accessibility.
Thus, more than optical instruments, lenses represent symbols of a journey in which science, society, and technology meet to expand not only vision, but also the possibilities of a more inclusive and healthy world.

References

- BORN, Max; WOLF, Emil. *Principles of Optics.* 7. ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- CHARMAN, W. Neil. *Optics of the Eye.* London: Butterworths, 2018.
- CRONENBERG, John. *History of Optics.* São Paulo: Edusp, 1996.
- FOSTER, Allen; RESNIKOFF, Serge. The impact of Vision 2020 on global blindness. *Eye,* v. 19, no. 10, p. 1133–1135, 2005.
- HECHT, Eugene. *Optics.* 4th ed. San Francisco: Addison-Wesley, 2002.
- JALIE, Mo. *The Principles of Ophthalmic Lenses.* London: Association of British Dispensing Opticians, 2015.
- JENKINS, Francis A.; WHITE, Harvey E. *Fundamentals of Optics.* 4th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1981.
- LARKIN, David F. *Ophthalmic Materials and Applications.* Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2004.
- MAINSTER, Martin A. Light and macular degeneration: a hypothesis. *Archives of Ophthalmology,* vol. 123, no. 2, p. 211–212, 2005.
- MEYER-ARENDT, Jurgen R. *Introduction to Classical and Modern Optics.* Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 1998.
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO). *World Report on Vision.* Geneva: WHO, 2019.

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

- PASCOLINI, Donatella; MARIOTTI, Silvio P. Global estimates of visual impairment: 2010. *British Journal of Ophthalmology,* vol. 96, no. 5, p. 614–618, 2012.
- RABINOWITZ, Paul M. *Optical Materials Handbook.* New York: McGraw-Hill, 1996.
- RESNIKOFF, Serge et al. Global magnitude of visual impairment caused by uncorrected refractive errors in 2004. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization,* v. 86, no. 1, p. 63–70, 2008.
- SMITH, Warren J. *Modern Lens Design.* 3rd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2019.
- YOUNG, Robert W. The family of sunlight-related eye diseases. *Optometry and Vision Science,* vol. 82, no. 6, p. 623–629, 2015.