Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

**Year IV, v.1 2024. | submission: 02/06/2024 | accepted: 02/08/2024 | publication: 02/10/2024**

**Business Administration as a Vector of Innovation and Organizational Sustainability**

**Business Administration as a Vector of Innovation and Organizational Sustainability**

Author: Johnny Lucas Garbim

Graduated in Business Administration from Beulah Heights University

**Summary**

Business administration, traditionally seen as a discipline focused on planning, organization, and control, assumes a central role in the 21st century in promoting innovation and organizational sustainability. This article analyzes how management is consolidating itself as a strategic driver for competitiveness and business sustainability in volatile global markets. Topics such as corporate governance, strategic formulation, innovation, sustainability, and human capital management are explored, highlighting the importance of management as an integrative and process-orientating science. The study also discusses frameworks for business adaptation in contexts of uncertainty, highlighting the importance of managers as agents of continuous transformation.

**Keywords:** Business Administration; Innovation; Sustainability; Governance; Competitiveness.

**Abstract**

Business administration, traditionally seen as a discipline focused on planning, organizing, and controlling, has taken on a central role in promoting innovation and organizational sustainability in the 21st century. This paper analyzes how administration consolidates itself as a strategic vector for competitiveness and corporate longevity in global and volatile markets.
It explores topics such as corporate governance, strategic formulation, innovation, sustainability, and human capital management, highlighting the importance of administration as an integrative and guiding science. The study also discusses frameworks for business adaptation in uncertain contexts, highlighting the relevance of managers as agents of continuous transformation.

**Keywords:** Business Administration; Innovation; Competitiveness. Sustainability; Governance;

## 1. Business Administration as a Core Discipline for Competitiveness



Business administration established itself throughout the 20th century as a central discipline for the organization and functioning of institutions, going beyond the limits of classical theory to become a social and strategic practice. Since the contributions of Frederick

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Taylor, who emphasized productive efficiency, and Henri Fayol, who structured administrative functions, to Peter Drucker, who defined it as an applied social science focused on results, administration proved to be fundamental to organizational competitiveness.

Drucker (1993) already highlighted that administration is the tool that allows society to produce more with fewer resources, ensuring its sustainability over time.

In a globalized scenario characterized by economic interdependence and constantly changing markets, management takes on an even more important role. It acts as an integrating element across different areas—finance, marketing, operations, and human resources—ensuring that they all converge toward a single strategic objective. According to Chiavenato (2014), management's role is to "do things through people," coordinating talent and resources to ensure consistent and sustainable results.

This centrality stems from management's ability to articulate technical and human dimensions, translating abstract goals into concrete action plans. In complex environments marked by volatility, management is the discipline that provides structure and resilience to organizations. The modern manager not only organizes resources but also promotes innovation, ensures quality, and creates mechanisms to adapt to environmental changes.

external.

Business competitiveness, therefore, depends on administrative effectiveness. Companies that successfully integrate their management tend to perform better, even in the face of crises. The example of Toyota, with its production system based on administrative principles such as continuous improvement *(kaizen)* and participatory management, demonstrates how management can become a lasting competitive advantage.

Furthermore, management transcends the corporate sphere and connects with broader social phenomena. In emerging countries like Brazil, the ability to manage companies efficiently is directly associated with job creation, technological innovation, and socioeconomic development. In this sense, management plays a strategic role not only for the survival of companies but also for the progress of nations.

Thus, it can be said that business administration is not merely a supporting discipline, but the central vector that ensures organizational competitiveness and sustainability. While integrating internal functions, it projects organizations into the global environment, enabling them to thrive in highly challenging scenarios.



**2. Corporate Governance and Organizational Sustainability**

Corporate governance is one of the fundamental pillars of modern management, representing the set of practices that ensure equity, transparency, accountability, and corporate responsibility. According to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

According to the Organization for Economic Development (OECD, 2015), governance is essential to ensure that companies align their private interests with collective well-being. Management, in this context, assumes the role of structuring governance mechanisms that sustain organizational sustainability.

The Brazilian Institute of Corporate Governance (IBGC, 2018) emphasizes that good governance practices are directly associated with long-term sustainable value generation. By implementing independent boards of directors, codes of ethics, and compliance policies, management creates the conditions for companies to earn the trust of investors, consumers, and society. This trust, in turn, translates into a competitive advantage in increasingly demanding global markets.

In recent years, the debate on governance has expanded to incorporate the ESG *(Environmental, Social, and Governance) agenda,* which reinforces the need to integrate sustainability into business practices. Business administration has been responsible for translating this agenda into concrete policies, balancing profitability with social and environmental responsibility. According to Elkington (1997), the *Triple Bottom Line* paradigm —people, planet, and profit— redefines business logic, forcing managers to consider multiple dimensions of performance.

Companies that adopt sound governance practices tend to be more resilient in times of crisis. A study by the World Economic Forum (2020) showed that organizations with diverse and independent boards were more agile in responding to the COVID-19 pandemic, adapting quickly to new contexts. This example confirms that corporate governance is not just a regulatory requirement, but a tool for innovation and adaptation.

Furthermore, governance strengthens relationships with stakeholders. Global investors, such as large pension funds, have prioritized investments in companies that demonstrate a commitment to ethical and transparent practices. In this sense, management takes a leading role in designing and implementing policies that reconcile diverse expectations without compromising organizational efficiency.

Therefore, corporate governance must be understood as the structuring axis of modern administration. It ensures organizational sustainability by aligning business practices with contemporary ethical, environmental, and social demands. By articulating governance and strategy, management becomes the foundation for companies to gain legitimacy and thrive in highly competitive markets.



## 3. Business Strategy and Adaptation in Global Markets

Business strategy is one of the most dynamic areas of management, responsible for guiding the organization toward its long-term objectives. According to Porter (1996), strategy is the creation of a unique and valuable position based on a set of activities.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

different from those of the competition. In a globalized environment characterized by volatility and intense competition, strategic formulation plays a crucial role in the survival and differentiation of companies.

Henry Mintzberg (2004) complements this view by emphasizing that strategy is not just a deliberate plan, but also an emergent pattern of behavior. This perspective shows that companies need to be able to formulate long-term guidelines, but also to adapt quickly to changes in the external environment. Business administration, in this sense, acts as a discipline that combines planning and flexibility, enabling organizations to respond quickly to crises and opportunities.

Adapting to global markets requires managers to understand the cultural, political, and economic factors that shape different business environments. The case of Embraer is illustrative: by adopting an internationalization strategy, the Brazilian company was able to compete in highly developed markets, repositioning itself as a global leader in regional aviation. This achievement was only possible because management was able to articulate business strategy, technological innovation, and efficient management.

Another emblematic example is Apple, whose strategy based on innovation and product differentiation has allowed the company to remain competitive even in environments of intense rivalry. Management, by articulating design, technology, and marketing, has consolidated Apple as one of the most valuable brands in the world, demonstrating how corporate strategy is a driver of global competitiveness.

The resource-based view (Barney, 1991) also reinforces the importance of management in strategic formulation. According to this perspective, sustainable competitive advantages stem from unique resources and capabilities, such as knowledge, brand, and organizational culture. It is up to management to identify, develop, and protect these assets, ensuring the organization's sustainability in turbulent markets.

Furthermore, the advancement of digital technologies has intensified the need for adaptive strategies. Recent reports from McKinsey (2020) indicate that companies that incorporate digital transformation into their strategies are 23% more profitable than those that remain with traditional models. This demonstrates that management must integrate technology, innovation, and the market into its strategic formulation, or risk obsolescence.

Therefore, business strategy must be understood as a mechanism for continuous adaptation. In a globalized and volatile world, business administration ensures that organizations maintain relevance, competitiveness, and sustainability, consolidating itself as a central discipline for innovation and longevity.



## 4. Innovation as a Pillar of Modern Administration

Innovation has established itself as a central element of contemporary administration, not just as an isolated function, but as a transversal practice that permeates all areas of the organization. Christensen (1997), in his theory of disruptive innovation, showed that companies capable of

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Reinventing business models challenges established competitors, transforming entire industries. In this context, business administration acts as a process coordinator that enables both incremental innovation, focused on continuous improvement, and disruptive innovation, which redefines markets.

Modern management understands innovation not as a spontaneous result, but as the fruit of systematic processes. According to Drucker (1985), innovation should be viewed as a discipline, based on research, observation, and analysis of opportunities. This view brings management closer to applied science, requiring managers to create organizational environments conducive to innovation. experimentation, error tolerance and continuous learning.

The concept of organizational ambidexterity, developed by O'Reilly and Tushman (2004), reinforces this perspective. Ambidextrous organizations are able to balance the exploration of new markets and technologies with the efficient exploitation of existing resources. Administration, in this sense, plays a crucial role in aligning structures and strategies that reconcile innovation with operational stability, preventing the search for the new from compromising the efficiency of current operations.

Concrete examples illustrate this process. 3M, known worldwide for its diverse portfolio of innovative products, has structured a management system that allocates part of its employees' time to experimental projects. This administrative model strengthens innovation as part of the corporate culture, not as a one-off initiative. In Brazil, Natura also stands out for integrating product innovation and sustainability practices, combining creative management with social and environmental responsibility.

Management, therefore, must structure formal mechanisms to ensure that innovation becomes recurrent. This includes creating internal laboratories, encouraging research and development, and partnering with universities and startups. Such practices expand the organization's knowledge base and strengthen its ability to adapt to dynamic environments.

Another essential point is innovation governance. Companies need metrics that assess not only the financial return of innovative projects, but also their strategic and social impact. Corporate management acts as a regulatory body, balancing investments, risks, and results, ensuring that innovation is sustainable in the long term.

Therefore, innovation must be understood as a pillar of modern management, integrating it into organizational strategy and strengthening companies' competitive capacity. Innovative management not only creates economic value but also responds to social and environmental demands, consolidating management as a driver of organizational transformation.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

**5. Sustainability as a Long-Term Strategy**

Sustainability has gone from being an option to a strategic imperative in business management. Under pressure from governments, consumers, and investors, organizations are forced to integrate environmental and social practices into their business models.
The *Triple Bottom Line* concept , formulated by Elkington (1997), highlights that business performance should be measured not only by profit but also by its impact on people and the planet. In this sense, management becomes responsible for structuring processes that align sustainability and competitiveness.

Sustainable management requires the internalization of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) metrics into administrative processes. Reports from PwC (2020) indicate that companies with solid ESG performance are more attractive to institutional investors.
This reality reinforces the strategic role of management in transforming sustainability into a competitive advantage, not an additional cost.

Business examples confirm this trend. Unilever, through its *Sustainable Living Plan,* incorporated goals to reduce environmental impact and improve the quality of life in local communities, while simultaneously increasing its revenue. This experience shows that sustainability and profitability are not contradictory objectives, but rather complementary ones. Management, in this case, was the connecting link capable of integrating different dimensions into a cohesive strategy.

In Brazil, companies like Ambev have been incorporating sustainable management practices, particularly programs to reduce water consumption and carbon emissions. Such initiatives strengthen brand reputation and reduce regulatory risks, in addition to generating operational efficiency. Management, in this sense, acts as a catalyst for processes that make sustainability part of the organizational culture.

Another important aspect is the relationship between sustainability and innovation. Digital technologies, such as IoT and big data, allow real-time monitoring of environmental and social indicators, providing information that guides decision-making. Modern management integrates
these tools to governance processes, making sustainability measurable and auditable.

Furthermore, sustainability increases the social legitimacy of organizations. In increasingly conscious markets, consumers tend to prefer companies committed to environmental and social causes. By aligning business practices with these values, management strengthens the brand and increases its global competitiveness.

Therefore, sustainability must be understood as a long-term strategy, not an isolated initiative. Business administration is the discipline that enables this integration, transforming sustainability into a factor of differentiation and organizational longevity.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

## 6. Human Capital and Organizational Development

Human capital is recognized as one of an organization's most important assets, and its effective management is a central function of business administration. According to Becker (1993), human capital represents the set of knowledge, skills, and competencies that increase a company's productivity and competitiveness. By structuring human resources management policies, management transforms this intangible asset into a sustainable competitive advantage.

Motivational theories offer important contributions to understanding the role of human capital. Maslow's hierarchy of needs (1943) and Herzberg's two-factor theory (1959) show that employee engagement goes beyond financial rewards, requiring recognition, autonomy, and opportunities for growth. Modern management must translate these theories into concrete management practices, such as career development programs and organizational well-being policies.

Organizational culture also plays a decisive role in valuing human capital. Schein (2010) argues that culture is the set of shared basic assumptions that guide the behavior of an organization's members. Therefore, it is up to management to cultivate a culture that fosters innovation, collaboration, and diversity, strengthening organizational identity and employee commitment.

Leadership is another essential element. Effective leaders not only guide teams but also inspire, motivate, and create conditions for people's full development. According to Goleman (1995), emotional intelligence is a fundamental characteristic of leaders capable of fostering engagement and superior team performance. By developing leadership programs, management ensures the development of managers prepared to face complex challenges.

In global environments, human capital management must also consider cultural diversity. Management acts as a mediator between different expectations, creating inclusive policies that ensure equal opportunities and leverage diverse skills. Studies show that diverse teams have greater capacity for innovation and problem-solving (Cox, 2001), confirming the importance of inclusive management practices.

Companies that invest in their human capital reap tangible results. Google, for example, is known for its employee appreciation policies, which include flexible schedules, encouraging creativity, and providing ongoing learning opportunities. This approach helped to consolidate the company as one of the most innovative in the world, demonstrating that effective human capital management generates competitive advantage.



Therefore, business administration should be understood as the discipline that transforms human capital into a driver of organizational development. By aligning people management practices with business strategy, it ensures sustainability, innovation, and competitiveness in global markets.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google


## 7. Frameworks for Organizational Adaptation and Resilience

The volatility and uncertainty of contemporary markets require companies to develop structured adaptation mechanisms. Recent management literature has used the term *VUCA* (Volatility, Uncertainty, Complexity, Ambiguity) to describe this environment (Bennett; Lemoine, 2014). In this context, business administration acts as a central discipline by proposing frameworks that strengthen the strategic resilience of organizations, ensuring their sustainability.

One of the most relevant models is that of Gary Hamel and Liisa Valikangas (2003), who advocate organizational resilience as the ability to continually reinvent oneself before external circumstances make such change inevitable. According to the authors, management must create processes that allow it to anticipate trends, learn from failures, and reposition itself nimbly in the face of disruptions. This transforms resilience into a sustainable competitive advantage.

Adaptive management, based on short planning and evaluation cycles, is another relevant framework. Inspired by *lean* thinking and agile methodologies, it allows companies to constantly review their objectives and adjust their strategies in real time. According to Rigby, Sutherland, and Takeuchi (2016), organizations that adopt agile practices have a greater capacity to innovate and respond to crises, making management more dynamic and responsive.

Digitalization enhances these adaptation frameworks. The use of big data, artificial intelligence, and integrated management systems provides managers with tools to monitor critical indicators in real time, enabling rapid adjustments to processes and strategies. This combination of technology and management strengthens the ability to anticipate risks and seize opportunities in highly complex environments.

Practical examples reinforce the importance of these models. During the COVID-19 pandemic, companies that had already structured adaptation frameworks, such as Microsoft and Magazine Luiza, were able to maintain active operations, quickly migrate to digital platforms, and even expand their businesses. This performance demonstrates that management, by planning for resilience, can transform crises into opportunities for growth.

Another relevant aspect is the connection between adaptation and governance. Resilience frameworks cannot compromise transparency or business ethics. Management must balance flexibility with responsibility, ensuring that the pursuit of adaptation does not result in image or compliance risks. In this sense, adaptive frameworks must be supported by solid corporate governance principles.

Thus, business administration has established itself as a discipline responsible for structuring frameworks for organizational adaptation and resilience. By integrating planning, innovation, and governance, it strengthens organizations' ability to thrive in global and volatile markets, ensuring competitiveness and sustainability.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

**Conclusion**

The analysis conducted in this article confirms that business administration should be understood as a structuring discipline, capable of integrating different organizational areas and guiding companies toward innovation and sustainability. Throughout the topics discussed, it was found that administration transcends technical functions, assuming the role of a central axis in organizational competitiveness and sustainability. Administration acts as an integrative practice, articulating resources, talents, and strategies into a coherent and efficient whole.

Corporate governance has proven to be one of the most critical aspects of this transformation. By ensuring transparency, equity, accountability, and responsibility, management not only organizes processes but also strengthens the social legitimacy of organizations. In this sense, governance, when structured on solid foundations, consolidates itself as an instrument of innovation and resilience, as it ensures that business decisions are aligned with ethical and sustainability principles, essential elements in global markets.

Strategic formulation, in turn, was highlighted as one of the greatest competitive differentiators in volatile environments. Management is not limited to creating static plans, but develops adaptive strategies capable of responding to sudden changes without compromising organizational coherence. The articulation between Porter's and Mintzberg's perspectives highlighted that modern management requires both long-term vision and the ability to adapt immediately, ensuring flexibility in highly complex scenarios.

The role of innovation, analyzed as a pillar of management, reinforces the idea that managers need to structure processes that transform ideas into concrete results. Innovation, when incorporated into organizational culture, strengthens companies' ability to differentiate themselves and generate economic and social value. This aspect reinforces management as a discipline responsible for creating environments that foster creativity, experimentation, and continuous learning, ensuring that innovation is an intrinsic part of corporate strategy.

Sustainability, presented as a long-term strategy, broadens the horizons of management. By integrating the *Triple Bottom Line,* managers must balance profitability with environmental and social impacts, recognizing that future competitiveness will depend on companies' alignment with global sustainable development agendas. This vision confirms that management is not only a tool for efficiency, but also a driver of social and environmental responsibility and corporate legitimacy.



Human capital management, a central element of the analysis, reaffirms the importance of people as a strategic asset. Modern management must go beyond bureaucratic human resource management, acting as a promoter of development, engagement, and diversity.
By valuing talent and encouraging transformative leadership, management converts human capital into collective intelligence, strengthening innovation and organizational resilience. This

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal of Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

approach places the human being at the center of strategies, bringing management closer to its social and ethical character.

The adaptation and resilience frameworks discussed in the last section confirm that management is the discipline capable of guiding companies in VUCA environments. By integrating agile methodologies, adaptive planning, and digital tools, management provides organizations with the ability to anticipate risks and transform crises into opportunities. This proactive approach differentiates companies that thrive from those that succumb to adversity, highlighting the strategic relevance of management as a guide for long-term survival.

It's important to emphasize that management doesn't operate in isolation, but rather in constant dialogue with other areas of knowledge. Finance, marketing, engineering, technology, and sociology offer essential inputs, but it is management that articulates these elements into a cohesive, results-driven logic. This interdisciplinarity confirms the scientific and practical nature of management, which combines theory, method, and action for the benefit of organizations and society.

By positioning itself as a driver of innovation and sustainability, management also redefines the role of managers. Managers cease to be mere process executors and become transformative leaders, mediators of interests, and agents of social impact. In a world marked by rapid change, managers are called upon to promote inclusion, ethics, diversity, and socio-environmental responsibility, solidifying management as a discipline that goes beyond profit and assumes a relevant social function.

In summary, it can be concluded that business administration is the linchpin that sustains organizational competitiveness and sustainability in the 21st century. By articulating governance, strategy, innovation, sustainability, human capital, and resilience, it not only ensures economic performance but also promotes social legitimacy and environmental responsibility. More than a field of study, administration is a transformative practice that ensures organizations not only the ability to survive but also to thrive in a global, complex, and constantly evolving world.

**References**

BARNEY, Jay. Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management,* vol. 17, no. 1, p. 99–120, 1991.

BECKER, Gary S. *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education.* 3rd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1993.

BENNETT, Nathan; LEMOINE, James. What VUCA really means for you. *Harvard Business Review,* vol. 92, no. 1/2, p. 27–28, 2014.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

CHIAVENATO, Idalberto. *Introduction to General Administration Theory.* 9th ed. Rio de Janeiro: Elsevier, 2014.

CHRISTENSEN, Clayton M. *The innovator's dilemma: When new technologies cause great firms to fail.* Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 1997.

COX, Taylor. *Creating the multicultural organization: A strategy for capturing the power of diversity.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 2001.

DRUCKER, Peter. *Innovation and entrepreneurship.* New York: Harper & Row, 1985.

DRUCKER, Peter. *Management: Tasks, responsibilities, practices.* New York: Harper Business, 1993.

ELKINGTON, John. *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business.* Oxford: Capstone, 1997.

FAYOL, Henri. *Administration industrielle et générale.* Paris: Dunod, 1916.

GOLEMAN, Daniel. *Emotional intelligence.* New York: Bantam Books, 1995.

HAMEL, Gary; VALIKANGAS, Liisa. The quest for resilience. *Harvard Business Review,* vol. 81, no. 9, p. 52–63, 2003.

HERZBERG, Frederick. *The motivation to work.* New York: Wiley, 1959.

IBGC – Brazilian Institute of Corporate Governance. *Code of Best Practices in Corporate Governance.* 5th ed. São Paulo: IBGC, 2018.

MASLOW, Abraham. A theory of human motivation. *Psychological Review,* vol. 50, no. 4, p. 370–396, 1943.

MINTZBERG, Henry. *The rise and fall of strategic planning.* New York: Free Press, 2004.

OECD – Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. *Principles of corporate governance.* Paris: OECD, 2015.

O'REILLY, Charles A.; TUSHMAN, Michael L. The ambidextrous organization. *Harvard Business Review,* vol. 82, no. 4, p. 74–81, 2004.

PORTER, Michael. What is strategy? *Harvard Business Review,* vol. 74, no. 6, p. 61–78, 1996.

PwC – PricewaterhouseCoopers. *ESG: The growth opportunity of the century.* London: PwC, 2020.



RIGBY, Darrell K.; SUTHERLAND, Jeff; TAKEUCHI, Hirotaka. Embracing agile. *Harvard Business Review,* vol. 94, no. 5, p. 40–50, 2016.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

SCHEIN, Edgar H. *Organizational culture and leadership.* 4th ed. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 2010.

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM. *Global corporate governance and sustainability report.* Geneva: WEF, 2020.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.