Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year IV, v.2 2024. | Submission: 08/12/2024 | Accepted: 10/12/2024 | Publication: 12/12/2024

# A Revisiting the Fundamentals of Power Systems in the Age of DISTRIBUTED GENERATION: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF STABILITY AND CONTROL

# REVISITING POWER SYSTEM FUNDAMENTALS IN THE ERA OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF STABILITY AND CONTROL

**Rodrigo Fernandes Gatto**

Electrical Engineer, graduated from Anhanguera College of Jundiaí.

**ABSTRACT** This article proposes a critical and in-depth analysis of the applicability and limitations of classical power system models in the face of the increasing decentralization of the global energy matrix. Based on the fundamentals of circuit analysis, electromechanical energy conversion, and control theory, it investigates how the massive insertion of intermittent and inverter-based renewable sources challenges traditional concepts of angular, voltage, and frequency stability. The imperative transition from controls based on physical inertia, typical of synchronous machines, to synthetic inertia strategies provided by advanced power electronics is discussed. The study revisits the swing equations and stability criteria to demonstrate that, although the network topology and equipment change, the underlying physics demands new adaptive control strategies and more sophisticated protections. The conclusion points to the need for re-engineering protection and control algorithms, based on a deep understanding of transient electromagnetic phenomena and rigorous mathematical modeling of static converters.

**Keywords:** Power Systems. Transient Stability. Distributed Generation. Synthetic Inertia. Electromechanical Conversion. Electrical Engineering.

**ABSTRACT** In this article, a critical and in-depth analysis is proposed regarding the applicability and limitations of classical power system models in the face of the increasing decentralization of the global energy matrix. Based on the fundamentals of circuit analysis, electromechanical energy conversion, and control theory, the investigation focuses on how the massive insertion of intermittent, inverter-based renewable sources challenges traditional concepts of angular, voltage, and frequency stability. The discussion addresses the imperative transition from controls based on physical inertia, typical of synchronous machines, to synthetic inertia strategies provided by advanced power electronics. The study revisits swing equations and stability criteria to demonstrates that, although network topology and equipment change, the underlying physics demands new adaptive control strategies and more sophisticated protection schemes. The conclusion points to the need for reengineering protection and control algorithms, grounded in a deep understanding of transient electromagnetic phenomena and rigorous mathematical modeling of static converters.

**Keywords:** Power Systems. Transient Stability. Distributed Generation. Synthetic Inertia. Electromechanical Conversion. Electrical Engineering.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

From the classical training in Electrical Engineering, a tectonic and irreversible transformation has been observed in the electricity sector: the migration from a unidirectional, passive, and centralized system to a bidirectional, active, and distributed ecosystem. This paradigm shift is not merely logistical or economic, but fundamentally physical and operational, requiring professionals in the field to revisit the axioms that have underpinned grid operation for over a century. The inherited infrastructure, designed for predictable power flows from large hydroelectric or thermal power plants to load centers, now faces the challenge of the randomness and geographical dispersion of renewable sources. However, it is argued that the fascination with digitalization and trendy terms like "Smart Grids" cannot overshadow the mastery of the fundamental principles of electrical physics, which continue to govern the behavior of electrons, regardless of the modernity of the connected equipment.

Ohm's Law, Maxwell's Equations, and Kirchhoff's Laws remain the immutable and absolute foundation upon which smart grids must be built and operated. Ignoring basic physics in favor of purely software-based or data communication solutions is a strategic error that can lead to catastrophic failures and systemic instabilities. The modern engineer must understand that the electrical grid is, first and foremost, a physical circuit subject to natural laws, where inductance, capacitance, and resistance define the operational limits, and not just economic optimization algorithms. The complexity increases exponentially when we consider that generation now occurs at the distribution end, altering voltage profiles and transformer loading dynamically and often unpredictably without adequate observability.

The objective of this work is to examine, from a theoretical, analytical, and rigorous perspective, the implications of the gradual replacement of conventional synchronous generators with voltage source inverters (VSIs) on the overall stability of the grid. This replacement is not trivial; it represents the exchange of machines with large rotating mass and overload capacity for static devices with low thermal capacity and dynamic response governed exclusively by control loops. The analysis focuses on how this transition affects the system's robustness in the face of short circuits, sudden load variations, and generation losses, situations that test the limits of transient and dynamic stability.

The central question raised is: how to maintain frequency and voltage stability in a system where physical rotational inertia is being progressively removed and replaced by algorithms? The answer to this question is not simple and requires a multidisciplinary approach that...

It combines the brute force of power engineering with the subtlety of modern control theory. Frequency stability, once guaranteed by the kinetic energy stored in rotors weighing hundreds of tons, now depends on the processing speed and measurement precision of distributed electronic devices, creating a scenario of latent fragility if not properly managed.

To answer this, we turn to the solid curricular foundation of electrical engineering, specifically the disciplines of Electrical Machines, Power Systems, and Control, to propose that the solution lies in advanced mathematical modeling. It is not enough to install inverters; they must be able to emulate the beneficial dynamic behavior of rotating machines while mitigating their defects. This article will structure this discussion into technical topics, demonstrating that the evolution towards a sustainable grid depends, paradoxically, on a return to the physics and calculus textbooks that underpin our profession.

## 2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATION AND DYNAMICS OF THE OSCILLATION EQUATION

Classical electrical engineering teaches that the stability of a power system intrinsically depends on the ability of synchronous machines to maintain synchronism after a severe disturbance in the grid. This phenomenon is governed by the mechanics of rotation and the electromagnetic interaction between the stator and rotor, where the balance between the mechanical power supplied by the turbine and the electrical power demanded by the grid defines the angular velocity. The Swing Equation , which constitutes the cornerstone of transient stability analysis, describes this dynamic behavior in a mathematically precise way. Its correct and complete formulation is essential to understanding how the system reacts to accelerations and decelerations. The revised equation is presented below:

Mdt2d2ÿ +Ddtdÿ =Pm ÿPe

In this second-order differential equation, M represents the inertia or angular momentum of the turbine-generator assembly, ÿ is the power angle (or rotor angle), Pm is the mechanical input power, Pe is the electrical output power, and D is the damping coefficient. The term M is crucial because it acts as an energy "cushion," resisting abrupt changes in the system frequency (dÿ/dt). In traditional systems, high values of M ensure that, even in the face of large generation or load losses, the frequency variation is slow enough for the speed governors to act before collapse occurs. The damping term D helps to attenuate subsequent oscillations, dissipating the energy of the disturbance.

In the current Distributed Generation (DG) scenario, dominated by photovoltaic panels and wind turbines connected via power electronics, a fundamental physical change occurs: **the term associated with mechanical inertia (M) ceases to exist in the modeling, since converters based on power electronics do not have rotational mass coupled to the grid.** Unlike a synchronous generator, where kinetic energy is stored in the rotating mass, a solar panel or

A *full-converter* wind turbine does not possess an intrinsic inertial energy reserve instantly accessible from the electrical grid. This transforms the nature of the differential equation governing the system, reducing the system order and eliminating the natural resistance to frequency variations.

This absence of physical inertia creates "low inertia" systems, which are extremely susceptible to rapid frequency variations, technically known as ROCOF *(Rate of Change of Frequency).* In a system with near-zero inertia, any imbalance between Pm and Pe results in an instantaneously high frequency derivative, potentially triggering underfrequency relays (load shedding scheme) long before primary control systems can react. My technical analysis indicates that ignoring this loss of physical inertia is the greatest risk to the safe operation of modern networks, as traditional static load flow models do not capture this critical temporal dynamic.

Furthermore, stability analysis based on Lyapunov criteria and the theory of equal areas needs to be adapted. In classical systems, stability is guaranteed if the deceleration energy is greater than the acceleration energy during the fault. Without the mass M, the "area" available for stabilization is drastically reduced, narrowing the stability margin. This implies that critical fault clearing times *must* be significantly reduced, requiring faster protections and circuit breakers with superior technology, otherwise widespread loss of synchronism will occur in fractions of a second.

**3. The Transition from Physical Inertia to Synthetic Inertia**

The discipline of Electromechanical Energy Conversion provides us with the conceptual tools to understand the magnetic coupling that has sustained the electrical grid for decades. By removing the direct physical coupling (stator-rotor) and replacing it with high-frequency semiconductor switches (IGBTs or MOSFETs), we lose the natural and physical response of the system to disturbances. Static converters traditionally operate as grid *-followers,* tracking existing voltage and frequency through a *Phase Locked Loop* (PLL). However, in a system dominated by inverters, this approach is insufficient because there is no component to "form" the grid and provide the inertial reference.

Therefore, I argue that the contemporary electrical engineer must master not only electromagnetism, but also the advanced control theory necessary to **program these devices to emulate the dynamic behavior of synchronous machines, as in Virtual Synchronous Machine (VSM) controls and other synthetic inertia approaches.** Synthetic (or Virtual) Inertia is not a physical property, but a control algorithm that measures the rate of change of frequency (df/dt) and commands an immediate injection or absorption of active power, simulating the release of kinetic energy from a rotor. This requires the inverter to have access to a power reserve, either in capacitor banks on the bus.

DC, coupled batteries, or operating the primary power source below the maximum power point (deloading).

VSM implementation involves the mathematical modeling of synchronous machine equations within the inverter's microprocessor (DSP). The controller virtually calculates the rotor angle, speed, and flux, and imposes on the inverter output the voltage and current that a real machine would produce under those conditions. This allows the inverter to contribute to damping power oscillations and provide inertial frequency support. However, unlike a real machine, the virtual M and D parameters can be adjusted in real time, allowing for adaptive inertia that can be optimized for different grid operating conditions, something impossible with the steel and copper of conventional machines.

The transition to *grid-forming* controls is the next logical step. While synthetic inertia aids in transient response, grid-forming drives establish their own voltage and frequency reference, enabling island operation and autonomous grid start *(black start).* The complexity here lies in the coordination between multiple grid-forming drives operating in parallel; without the natural impedance of the machines to smooth interactions, small synchronization errors in the control algorithms can generate destructive circulating currents between the drives, requiring virtual impedances in the control loops.

The technological challenge is not only software-related but also hardware-related. To provide effective synthetic inertia, the converter must have momentary overcurrent capability. Synchronous machines can supply up to 6 or 7 times their nominal current during faults; typical inverters are limited to 1.2 or 1.5 times due to the thermal sensitivity of semiconductors. This means that, to faithfully replicate the robustness of a synchronous machine via synthetic inertia, inverters would need to be oversized, impacting the CAPEX of distributed generation projects. The balance between cost, overload capacity, and fidelity of inertial emulation is the great dilemma of current power engineering.

**4. Impacts of Bidirectionality on Protection and Selectivity**

Voltage stability, traditionally analyzed through PV (Power-Voltage) and QV (Reactive-Voltage) curves, **gains new complexity with the possibility of bidirectional power flow in sections of the** distribution network. Historically, distribution networks were designed with a radial topology, where energy flowed from the substation to the consumer, and the voltage drop was predictable and monotonic along the feeder. With the insertion of distributed generation, we have active power injection points scattered throughout the network, which can raise the voltage at the connection points and reverse the flow profile, creating scenarios where the voltage at the end of the line is higher than at the substation.

In my studies on Circuit Analysis and System Protection, we learned to deal with centralized sources and passive loads, assuming that the short-circuit current always originates from...

from the upstream substation. Today, the consumer has become a "prosumer," injecting active and reactive power into the grid. This invalidates many of the simplifying assumptions used in the sizing of protections and fuses. The presence of distributed sources alters short-circuit levels, potentially increasing them (if they are rotating machines) or keeping them limited (if they are inverters), making fault detection and coordination between protection devices more difficult.

One of the most critical problems is that **reverse power flow can compromise the coordination of overcurrent relays, leading to loss of sensitivity or unintended tripping.** The phenomenon known as "protection blinding" *occurs* when the short-circuit current is fed by both the grid and the distributed generation (DG). The contribution from the DG causes the current seen by the substation relay to be lower than it would be without the DG, potentially falling below the tripping threshold *(pickup),* causing the relay not to trip for a direct fault on the line, thus endangering public safety and property.

In addition to blindness, there is the risk of sympathetic tripping , where a fault in an adjacent feeder causes the protection of a healthy feeder to trip improperly due to the reverse current contribution from the distributed generation (DG). This reduces the reliability and availability of the system, causing unnecessary customer outages. Fuse-fuse, fuse-recloser, and relay-relay coordination, which is a precise art in protection engineering, becomes a dynamic and multivariable problem when current sources can change location and intensity throughout the day, depending on solar irradiance or wind.

My theoretical and practical proposal is that protection coordination should evolve from fixed and static time-current curves to adaptive algorithms. Modern protection systems should utilize real-time communication and phasor analysis (PMUs - *Phasor Measurement Units)* to dynamically adjust the setting groups *of* relays, based on the instantaneous network topology and the amount of distributed generation (DG) connected. Protection ceases to be an isolated device and becomes an intelligent and interconnected system, capable of distinguishing between a real fault and a power surge or a legitimate operational reverse flow.

**5. Power Quality and Harmonic Phenomena in Hybrid Networks**

Another critical point arising from the massification of power electronics is the severe injection of harmonic distortions into the grid. Fourier analysis, an essential mathematical tool in our engineering training, demonstrates that non-sinusoidal waves can be decomposed into sums of frequencies that are multiples of the fundamental (60 Hz). Inverters operate through high-frequency switching (PWM), which inherently generates undesirable spectral content. Although output filters are used, the interaction between thousands of inverters connected to the same low-voltage network creates a spectrally polluted and complex environment.

The problem is exacerbated because **harmonic resonance can occur due to the interaction between the impedance of inverters, filters, capacitor banks, and the grid impedance itself.**

At specific frequencies, the inductive reactance of the grid can equal the capacitive reactance of the power factor correction banks or the LCL filters of the inverters, creating a parallel resonant circuit. This amplifies small-magnitude harmonic currents to destructive levels, causing overheating in transformers, burning out of consumer electronic boards, premature aging of insulation, and erratic tripping of sensitive protections.

Mitigating these problems requires a Power Quality study that is preventive, not just corrective. I advocate the use of advanced computational modeling to perform frequency scans *on* the grid before connecting large distributed generation (DG) plants. It is necessary to model the harmonic impedance of the grid as seen from the connection point and ensure that the inverter filters are designed not to excite the system's natural resonance frequencies. The use of active filters, which inject counter-phase harmonic currents to cancel distortions, becomes a viable and necessary technical solution at strategic points in the grid.

In addition to classical harmonics, supra-harmonics (frequencies between 2 kHz and 150 kHz) arise, generated by the switching frequencies of modern inverters. These disturbances, still poorly regulated by standards, can interfere with PLC *(Power Line Communication)* systems used in smart meters and industrial automation. Engineering must, therefore, expand the scope of power quality analysis to cover a much wider frequency spectrum than the traditional 50th harmonic order.

Finally, the issue of power factor must also be revisited. Modern inverters have the ability to supply or absorb reactive power independently of active generation (four-quadrant operation). This allows distributed generation (DG) to act as a distributed static compensator (D-STATCOM), assisting in local voltage regulation. However, the control of this reactive power must be coordinated to avoid conflicts with the voltage regulators of transformers and capacitor banks from the utility, requiring sophisticated and integrated Volt-Var control logic.

## 6. Advanced Control and Automation Strategies

The discipline of Control Systems provides the mathematical basis for stabilizing dynamic systems, whether mechanical or electrical. In the current context, **classical control (PID - The Proportional, Integral, and Derivative (PID) method can prove limited in the face of the strong nonlinearity and fast dynamics of power electronics-based systems.** A linearized PID around an operating point works well for small perturbations, but the modern grid often operates far from its nominal equilibrium points, with abrupt topological changes. This necessitates robust control techniques, adaptive control, or even model predictive control (MPC), which anticipates the future behavior of the system to optimize present control action.

An elegant application of control theory to inverter paralleling is *Droop Control.* (Droop Control). Inspired by the speed regulation of conventional generators, where the frequency drops linearly with increasing load, *Droop Control* allows inverters to share the load without physical communication between them. By establishing an artificial relationship between Frequency-Active Power (fP) and Voltage-Reactive Power (VQ), **we enable multiple distributed resources to operate in parallel with decentralized coordination, reducing the need for high-speed communication.** Each inverter "reads" the local frequency and voltage and adjusts its output, ensuring that the total load is divided proportionally to the capabilities of each unit.

However, classic *Droop Control* has limitations, such as permanent frequency and voltage deviations and low accuracy in reactive power sharing due to differences in line impedances. To overcome this, the control architecture of modern *Microgrids* uses a hierarchical structure. Primary Control (Droop/VSM) acts in milliseconds for local stabilization. Secondary Control acts in seconds to restore frequency and voltage to nominal values, compensating for Droop errors. Tertiary Control acts in minutes, performing economic optimization and energy dispatch in coordination with the market or the system operator (DSO).

The implementation of this hierarchy depends on interoperability. The IEC 61850 protocol, originally designed for substation automation, is being extended to communication with Distributed Energy Resources (DERs). The ability to send active and reactive power setpoint commands to thousands of inverters in a secure and standardized way is what will differentiate a chaotic network from an efficient *Virtual Power Plant* (VPP). The control engineer must now design systems that are resilient not only to electrical faults, but also to communication latencies and data packet loss.

The future of control in power systems also involves artificial intelligence and *machine learning.* Reinforcement learning algorithms can be trained to adjust inverter controller parameters in real time, learning from grid behavior and adapting to new operating conditions that were not foreseen during the design phase.
This represents the ultimate fusion between computer science and power engineering, where control ceases to be a static equation and becomes a cognitive agent within the network.

## 7. CONCLUSION

Electrical engineering is not a static science; it is a living discipline that demands the constant reinterpretation of its fundamental axioms in the face of technological evolution. My academic and analytical trajectory leads me to conclude that the transition to smart grids and distributed generation does not invalidate classical knowledge; on the contrary, it makes it more vital than ever. The complexity brought about by power converters, the bidirectionality of the flows, and the

The intermittent nature of the sources requires an engineer who is not merely a user of simulation software, but also has a deep understanding of the theoretical foundations that govern this software.

To guarantee the stability and reliability of future power systems, it is not enough to simply implement new technologies additively. It is imperative that we, electrical engineers, apply the mathematical and physical rigor acquired in our training to model, simulate, and control these new variables with surgical precision. Society's energy security depends on the robustness of these new hybrid systems, which cannot fail in the face of natural disturbances in electrical operation.

A review of the concepts of inertia, stability, and protection demonstrates that simplistic solutions are no longer sufficient. **Inertia can be synthesized via control, but the physics of the electromagnetic phenomena governing stability remains unchanged and requires rigorous modeling.** Energy does not arise from nothing, and oscillations do not disappear without damping; only the mechanisms for managing these quantities have changed from mechanical to electronic.

Excellence in electrical engineering, therefore, lies in the ability to orchestrate this complexity, transforming theoretical challenges into resilient energy solutions. The future belongs to professionals capable of programming an inverter with the same skill with which they analyze a phasor diagram, uniting the digital world with the physical world in perfect synchronicity, thus ensuring a safe, efficient, and technically sustainable energy transition.

**BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES**

FITZGERALD, AE; KINGSLEY JR, C.; UMANS, SD **Electric Machinery.** 7. ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education, 2020.

GLOVER, J. Duncan; OVERBYE, Thomas J.; SARMA, Mulukutla S. **Power System Analysis & Design.** 6. ed. Boston: Cengage Learning, 2016.

KUNDUR, Prabha. **Power System Stability and Control.** New York: McGraw-Hill, 1994.

MILANO, Federico; DÖRFLER, Florian; HUG, Gabriela; HILL, David J.; VERBIÿ, Gregor. Foundations and Challenges of Low-Inertia Systems. **Proceedings of the IEEE,** vol. 106, no. 12, p. 2436-2463, 2018.

OGATA, Katsuhiko. **Modern Control Engineering.** 5. ed. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 2010.

REZKALLA, M. et al. Control Strategies for Converters in Microgrids: A Review. **IEEE Access,** v. 11, p. 22154-22178, 2023.

SADIKU, Matthew NO; ALEXANDER, Charles K. **Fundamentals of Electric Circuits.** 6. ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education, 2016.

TEODORESCU, Remus; LISERRE, Marco; RODRIGUEZ, Pedro. **Grid Converters for Photovoltaic and Wind Power Systems.** 2nd ed. wiley-IEEE Press, 2020.

YAZDANI, Amirnaser; IRAVANI, Reza. **Voltage-Sourced Converters in Power Systems: Modeling, Control, and Applications.** New Jersey: IEEE Press, 2010.