Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS - Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

Strategic management of clinical exceptions in implant-supported rehabilitations with a digital workflow: a proposed integrated framework for operational efficiency and decision-making in dental clinics.

Strategic management of clinical exceptions in implant-supported rehabilitations with digital flow: proposal of an integrated framework for operational efficiency and decision-making in dental clinics

Strategic management of clinical exceptions in rehabilitation supported by implants with digital flow: proposal for an integrated framework for operational efficiency and decision making in dental clinics

Dr. Orlando Travitzki Neto
Dr. Marcella Falcão de Souza Bianchin Travitzki

Summary

The digital transformation in contemporary dentistry has fostered significant advances in clinical predictability, process automation, and technological integration, especially in implant-supported rehabilitations mediated by digital workflows. However, the increased technological sophistication has also amplified the operational complexity of dental clinics, introducing new challenges related to clinical variability, systemic dependence, data interoperability, and exception management. In this context, this study proposes an integrated predictive management framework focused on the identification, analysis, and strategic management of clinical exceptions in digital implant environments. The article adopts an interdisciplinary theoretical-analytical approach, articulating fundamentals of *healthcare management, clinical governance,* risk management, operational efficiency, and analytical intelligence applied to healthcare. It argues that the sustainability of digital dentistry depends not only on the incorporation of advanced technologies but also on the implementation of management structures capable of interpreting clinical variability, reducing operational failures, and integrating continuous data-driven decision-making mechanisms. It is concluded that predictive models and integrated clinical exception management systems can significantly contribute to increased operational efficiency, improved patient experience, reduced rework, and strengthened clinical governance in digital dental clinics.

Keywords: digital dentistry; clinical management; digital implantology; risk management; operational efficiency; *clinical governance;* predictive intelligence.

1. **INTRODUCTION**

The digitalization of dentistry, especially in implant-supported rehabilitations, has promoted

Significant advances in terms of clinical efficiency, prosthetic precision, and patient comfort.

structurally transforming traditional models of therapeutic planning and execution.

(BERNARDO et al., 2019; FRASER et al., 2023). The integration of technologies such as scanning

Intraoral technology, CAD/CAM systems, three-dimensional virtual planning, and 3D printing have enabled...

Consolidating workflows to be more agile, predictable, and integrated, reducing analog steps.

and expanding the standardization of care (SHARMA et al., 2022; DA SILVA et al., 2023).

Digital implant workflows have come to play a central role in oral rehabilitation.

contemporary, favoring the integration of clinical, laboratory, and prosthetic data, in addition to

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS - Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

to expand therapeutic personalization and the predictability of clinical outcomes (BERNARDO et al., 2019). However, the increasing automation of care processes has also expanded the Systemic dependency between digital platforms, interoperable software, and teams. multidisciplinary approaches introduce new organizational vulnerabilities (GHANAVATI et al., 2020). Despite its widely documented benefits, digitization can obscure events outside of the... standard — such as subtle anatomical variations, scanning errors, three-dimensional distortions or Prosthetic adaptation failures — which, although rare, have significant potential to compromise the Clinical failure when not identified early (FRASER et al., 2023; ERICHSEN et al., (2020). In highly standardized workflows, these exceptions tend to be minimized or treated as occasional errors, especially in the absence of systematic monitoring and control mechanisms. clinical interpretation (DIXON-WOODS et al., 2011).

In this context, it becomes increasingly insufficient to understand digital dentistry solely from the perspective of digital dentistry. a technical or procedural perspective. The growing interdependence between technology and management Operational efficiency, clinical decision-making, and organizational sustainability require more robust models. sophisticated clinical governance and management intelligence (CHAFEE, 2019; MINTZBERG, 2017). Literature in *healthcare management* demonstrates that highly digitalized clinical environments They exhibit high sensitivity to systemic failures resulting from the interaction between human factors. technological and organizational factors, in which small, accumulated inconsistencies can produce significant clinical and operational impacts (BERWICK, 2016; POTTER; TEISBERG, 2006).

Given this scenario, the present study proposes the **Integrated Predictive Management Framework. Clinical Exceptions (FIGPEC),** conceived as an organizational model focused on identification early intervention, systemic interpretation, and strategic management of clinical variability in Digital implant-supported rehabilitations. The premise is that clinical exceptions should not be considered. to be understood not only as isolated operational deviations, but also as sources institutional learning strategies, continuous refinement of care processes and Strengthening organizational intelligence applied to digital dentistry.

2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATION

2.1 Digitization and operational efficiency in healthcare

Digital transformation in the healthcare sector represents one of the most significant structural changes. of contemporary healthcare systems, promoting a profound reconfiguration of processes clinical, operational and managerial aspects of health organizations (BERWICK, 2016; PORTER;

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

(TEISBERG, 2006). The incorporation of digital technologies, process automation, integration of Clinical data and analytical tools have come to directly influence how services are delivered. Health initiatives are planned, implemented, and evaluated, especially in areas heavily dependent on them. Operational precision and therapeutic predictability, such as digital dentistry.

In the dental context, particularly in contemporary implantology, the adoption of systems CAD/CAM, intraoral scanning, three-dimensional virtual planning and additive manufacturing It has promoted significant advances in clinical predictability, therapeutic standardization, and integration. between the laboratory and care stages (BERNARDO et al., 2019; FRASER et al., 2023). Such Technologies have significantly reduced the reliance on analog processes and optimized the workflows have been streamlined and have expanded the capacity for therapeutic personalization, contributing to greater consistency of clinical results (SHARMA et al., 2022).

However, the digitization of care flows has also resulted in a substantial increase in operational complexity. As clinical systems have come to depend on interaction simultaneous operation between multiple interoperable digital platforms, high-precision equipment and Multidisciplinary teams, operational stability has become contingent on the quality of systemic integration of these elements (GHANAVATI et al., 2020). In this scenario, failures of Communication, digital compatibility inconsistencies, and variations in processing can generate relevant clinical and organizational impacts, even when the technical protocols are strictly followed.

The literature on *Lean Healthcare* demonstrates that operational efficiency in healthcare should not be... understood solely as increasing the speed of execution of procedures, but such as the systemic ability to reduce waste, minimize rework, and eliminate steps. unnecessary and promote continuous flows of healthcare value (WOMACK; JONES, 2003; (SPEAR; BOWEN, 1999). From this perspective, digital dental clinics begin to operate as complex organizational ecosystems, in which clinical efficiency, information management and Decision-making becomes an inseparable dimension of institutional performance.

Furthermore, contemporary *workflow management* models emphasize that highly efficient environments Digitized systems require continuous performance monitoring, real-time data integration, and... Structured operational control mechanisms (KAPLAN; NORTON, 1996; DEMING, 1986). A Digital transformation, therefore, does not eliminate the need for clinical and organizational management; Conversely, it significantly increases the importance of management models capable of interpreting clinical variability, anticipating operational failures, and responding strategically to events.

Unforeseen events.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS - Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

From this perspective, it becomes clear that operational efficiency in digital dentistry does not depend not only due to the technological sophistication employed, but also to the institutional capacity of integrate technology, processes and data-driven decision making (BERWICK, 2016; (MINTZBERG, 2017). In highly digitized environments, small inconsistencies accumulate. can compromise therapeutic predictability, organizational performance, and the patient experience. patient, reinforcing the need for systemic approaches to clinical and operational management.

2.2 Risk management in dental clinics

The increasing technological sophistication of digital implant workflows has significantly expanded The importance of risk management as an essential component of operational sustainability in contemporary dental clinics. As treatments have become increasingly dependent on a high degree of integration between digital systems, planning platforms, and prosthetic manufacturing And in multidisciplinary teams, small accumulated inconsistencies began to generate impacts. potentially relevant clinical, financial, and organizational factors (ERICHSEN et al., 2020; PANDey, 2024).

In digital dentistry, operational risks are not limited to traditional clinical failures. described in the literature. Intraoral scanning errors, three-dimensional distortions, incompatibilities between digital files, interoperability failures between software, limitations Anatomical differences and excessive reliance on automated protocols represent factors capable of... compromising therapeutic predictability and operational stability, even in environments technically advanced (GHANAVATI et al., 2020; FRASER et al., 2023).

Literature on patient safety demonstrates that complex healthcare systems are highly vulnerable. vulnerable to systemic failures resulting from the simultaneous interaction between human factors, technological and organizational vulnerabilities. Such vulnerabilities become particularly relevant in digital environments, in which the speed of information processing and interdependence The number of clinical and laboratory steps increases the potential for errors to spread throughout the process. of the care flow (DIXON-WOODS et al., 2011; ERICHSEN et al., 2020).

In this context, the strategic management of clinical exceptions emerges as a fundamental mechanism. for risk mitigation. Early identification of operational deviations allows for a reduction in... rework, optimize clinical time, reduce laboratory waste, and strengthen the Organizational predictability. Preventive and systematic approaches to risk management. They also contribute to greater healthcare security and a reduction in legal vulnerabilities.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

associated with therapeutic failures in complex implant treatments (PANDey, 2024; CHAFFEE, 2019).

From a managerial perspective, risk management should not be understood merely as a tool. defensive for damage control, but also as a strategic component of organizational intelligence. Clinics capable of continuously monitoring clinical variability and interpreting failure patterns. and implementing systematic corrective mechanisms tend to be more efficient. operational, financial stability and quality of care over time (BERWICK, 2016; MINTZBERG, 2017).

Furthermore, contemporary healthcare management models emphasize that highly... Digitized systems demand structured risk analysis approaches integrated into processes. everyday decision-making. The absence of formal monitoring and predictive analysis mechanisms. This can result in a high dependence on late corrective interventions, compromising not only clinical outcomes, but also the organizational sustainability of dental clinics. digital (PORTER; TEISBERG, 2006; DEMING, 1986).

Thus, it is evident that risk management in digital dentistry must take on a character... systemic, continuous and interdisciplinary, incorporating, in an integrated way, clinical aspects, technological and organizational. This approach forms an essential basis for development. of more resilient models, capable of absorbing clinical variability and responding strategically to the challenges inherent in contemporary digital implant workflows (DIXON-WOODS et al., 2011; SHARMA et al., 2022).

2.3 Clinical governance and quality of care

The concept of *clinical governance* has become established as one of the main contemporary models. quality assurance in healthcare systems, based on the creation of structures organizational structures focused on the continuous monitoring of clinical practice and the standardization of protocols, to healthcare auditing and the systematic promotion of continuous improvement (CHAFFEE, 2019; (MINTZBERG, 2017). This approach shifts the exclusive focus from individual performance to a Institutional logic of shared responsibility, transparency, and organizational learning.

In contemporary digital dentistry, advances in automation and technological integration have made progressively insufficient reliance on the exclusive technical skill of the professional. Clinical predictability has increasingly come to depend on the ability institutional role in structuring organized systems for quality control and traceability of decisions.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

and monitoring of care performance throughout the entire therapeutic flow (BERNARDO et al., 2019; ERICHSEN et al., 2020).

In this scenario, clinical protocols cease to function merely as technical instruments. Standardization becomes normative and takes on a strategic role in organizational governance. Intelligent digital workflows allow for the reduction of unnecessary variability and increased consistency. therapeutic and strengthen the operational safety of implemented and supported treatments, especially in environments characterized by high technological complexity (SHARMA et al., 2022; CHAFFEE, 2019).

However, the literature on clinical governance also acknowledges that excessively structured systems... Rigid structures can limit the adaptive capacity of organizations in the face of atypical situations and... Individual biological variations. In complex clinical settings, where anatomical factors, Systemic and functional factors interact dynamically; the inflexible application of protocols can... compromise clinical decision-making and reduce therapeutic personalization (MINTZBERG, 2017; DIXON-WOODS et al., 2011).

Thus, contemporary models of *clinical governance* emphasize the need for balance. between operational standardization and decisional flexibility. This balance allows the protocols They should serve as structuring guidelines, without eliminating the possibility of clinically sound adaptation. in professional judgment, in scientific evidence, and in the contextual analysis of clinical exceptions. (BERWICK, 2016; CHAFFEE, 2019).

Furthermore, ongoing clinical audits play a central role in identifying patterns. recurring failures, operational inconsistencies, and opportunities for improving workflows. care-related. Systematic analysis of clinical and operational data allows for refinement. progressive protocols and the development of organizational intelligence based on real evidence, and not just theoretical assumptions or generic guidelines (DIXON-WOODS et al. al., 2011; ERICHSEN et al., 2020).

From this perspective, clinical exceptions cease to be understood exclusively as Undesirable deviations become strategic sources of institutional learning. The structured incorporation of this information into clinical governance systems promotes improvement. Continuous improvement in quality of care, reduction of rework, and strengthening of patient safety. and greater alignment between operational efficiency and clinical excellence in environments digital dental (BERWICK, 2016; MINTZBERG, 2017).

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
IRCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

2.4 Artificial intelligence and predictive analytics

The incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) and predictive analytics techniques in healthcare, it represents one of the main trends in contemporary clinical management, expanding significantly improves the ability to identify risks early, optimize processes, and provide support. to data-driven decision making (TOPOL, 2019; SHARMA et al., 2022). These advances have promoted substantial changes in the way clinical and operational information is analyzed, integrated and used in complex healthcare settings.

In digital dentistry, analytical tools based on artificial intelligence have been... progressively applied to surgical planning, automated radiographic analysis, and Prosthetic predictability and the integration of three-dimensional images contribute to greater precision. diagnostic and therapeutic aspects in implant procedures (DA SILVA et al., 2023; FRASER et al., 2023). These applications demonstrate that AI is not limited to the field of diagnostics, but can also be used in other areas. to play a relevant role in clinical and organizational management.

From a management perspective, predictive models applied to dental practice allow for the identification of... Recurring patterns of operational inconsistency, recognizing frequent clinical deviations, monitoring Continuously monitor performance and anticipate failures in complex digital workflows. This ability to A systemic interpretation facilitates faster decisions and reduces clinical and laboratory rework. and the increase in operational stability of digital dental clinics (BERWICK, 2016; GHANAVATI et al., 2020).

Furthermore, predictive analytics systems enable the simultaneous integration of multiple variables. clinical, laboratory, and operational aspects, favoring the construction of models that are more sensitive to Individual variability among patients. This approach represents a significant advance in in relation to traditional models based exclusively on rigid protocols and linear logic. decision-making, expanding the adaptive capacity of implant clinical workflows. (PORTER; TEISBERG, 2006; SHARMA et al., 2022).

The literature on organizational innovation and knowledge management highlights the strategic use of... Data allows for the transformation of operational information into institutional intelligence, promoting the continuous learning and the progressive refinement of care processes (NONAKA; (TAKEUCHI, 1995; EDMONDSON, 2012). In this sense, predictive analytics contributes not only for correcting flaws, but also for developing more organizational models. Resilient and guided by real evidence.

However, contemporary studies emphasize that artificial intelligence should not be understood not as a substitute for human clinical judgment, but rather as a complementary tool to support...

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

Decision-making. Contextual interpretation, professional experience, and adaptive capacity.
Clinical teams remain essential for the proper management of clinical exceptions.
complex, especially in environments characterized by high biological variability and
organizational (TOPOL, 2019; LONGONI; BONEZZI; MOREWEDGE, 2019).
Thus, the effectiveness of artificial intelligence and predictive analytics in digital dentistry.
It depends on its integration into solid structures of clinical governance, risk management, and decision-making.
Interdisciplinary decision-making. When aligned with well-structured organizational models, these
Technologies enhance the institutional capacity to anticipate critical events and reduce uncertainties.
operational and sustain continuous processes for improving the quality of care (CHAFFEE, 2019;
MINTZBERG, 2017).

3. Limitations of conventional models

Despite the advances provided by digitalization in contemporary implant dentistry, much
Some of the operational models currently in use are still based on structures.
linear decision-making processes, excessively dependent on standardized protocols and with
Limited adaptive capacity in the face of complex clinical variability. Although these approaches
have contributed significantly to increasing therapeutic predictability and
Standardization of care flows, its application in highly dynamic environments and
Technologically integrated systems present significant limitations from a clinical and operational perspective.
and managerial (BERNARDO et al., 2019; SHARMA et al., 2022).
The increasing sophistication of digital flows has significantly expanded the number of variables.
Clinical, laboratory, and technological aspects are simultaneously involved in rehabilitation processes.
In this scenario, conventional models often have difficulty integrating multiple sources of
information, interpret clinical exceptions systematically, and respond appropriately to deviations.
operational aspects not foreseen in traditional protocols (GHANAVATI et al., 2020; DIXON-WOODS
et al., 2011).

3.1 Statistical limitations and low clinical adaptability

A large part of conventional approaches in digital implantology are based on models.
statistical data derived from relatively homogeneous populations and controlled clinical conditions.
Although these methodologies are essential for constructing general parameters of
While therapeutic predictability is lacking, its practical applicability can become limited given the high risk.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS - Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

biological variability observed in real clinical settings (BERNARDO et al., 2019;
ERICHSEN et al., 2020).
Individual anatomical differences, bone quality and volume, systemic conditions, patterns of
Healing, functional limitations, and biomechanical factors often result in scenarios...
clinical cases that fall outside the normal ranges predicted by statistical protocols.
standardized. In such situations, exclusive reliance on linear models reduces the capacity
The adaptive nature of digital workflows hinders the early identification of clinically relevant exceptions.
(FRASER et al., 2023; SHARMA et al., 2022).
Furthermore, many contemporary digital systems still operate with binary logic.
validation, in which minor inconsistencies are interpreted merely as operational errors.
isolated, without contextual analysis of recurring patterns or the simultaneous interaction between multiple
clinical and organizational variables (GHANAVATI et al., 2020). From a managerial perspective, this
This limitation compromises the construction of continuous operational intelligence based on learning.
adaptive (NONAKA; TAKEUCHI, 1995).

3.2 Qualitative limitations and operator dependence

Despite the increased levels of automation provided by digital implant workflows, the
Clinical practice remains heavily dependent on the individual experience of professionals.
involved. The interpretation of three-dimensional images, surgical planning, the evaluation of
Prosthetic stability and the management of digital inconsistencies often require a
high degree of subjective judgment, even in highly technologized environments (BERNARDO
et al., 2019; DA SILVA et al., 2023).
In this context, variabilities related to professional experience, technical skills, and
Interdisciplinary communication and individual decision-making can directly influence...
Clinical and operational outcomes. Differences in training level and technological familiarity.
and the lack of alignment among team members tends to amplify the heterogeneity in the execution of
digital flows (EDMONDSON, 2012; MINTZBERG, 2017).
The literature on quality management and patient safety demonstrates that systems
Those who are excessively dependent on individual expertise exhibit greater operational vulnerability.
especially in complex and scalable environments (DIXON-WOODS et al., 2011; BERWICK, 2016).
Furthermore, the absence of structured mechanisms for recording and tracing decisions.
Subjective clinical findings hinder the identification of systemic causes of failures and limit the ability
institutional goal of promoting continuous improvement (ERICHSEN et al., 2020).

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS - Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

3.3 Operational limitations and fragmentation of digital flows

The integration between the clinic, the laboratory, and digital platforms has provided significant advancements. in therapeutic efficiency. However, this integration also increased dependence on systemic interoperability and operational coordination between multiple agents, software and technological devices (GHANAVATI et al., 2020; FRASER et al., 2023).

In numerous clinical settings, digital workflows remain fragmented, with limitations to... Full integration between scanning systems, surgical planning, prosthetic manufacturing and clinical monitoring. This fragmentation favors the occurrence of operational bottlenecks. Information redundancies and failures in interdisciplinary communication, which can lead to impacts. significant cumulative clinical effects throughout implant treatment (SHARMA et al., 2022; DA SILVA et al., 2023).

Seemingly minor inconsistencies — such as scan distortions, mismatches of STL files, discrepancies in prosthetic parameterization, or digital misalignments—tend to... propagate throughout the rehabilitation process, increasing rework rates, clinical time, and... laboratory costs (ERICHSEN et al., 2020; DEMING, 1986). From an organizational perspective, the The absence of structured mechanisms for continuous monitoring limits institutional capacity. to identify recurring patterns of inconsistency and to implement preventive strategies. (KAPLAN; NORTON, 1996).

3.4 Need for integrated predictive management frameworks

The limitations identified show that conventional models face difficulties. structural solutions to address the increasing organizational complexity of digital dentistry. contemporary. Excessively rigid protocols, informational fragmentation, low integration. Systemic factors and a high reliance on subjective interpretation reduce the adaptive capacity of clinical flows and increase operational vulnerabilities (BERWICK, 2016; MINTZBERG, 2017).

Given this scenario, it becomes necessary to build integrated frameworks capable of... to simultaneously monitor multiple clinical and operational variables, and to identify early Identify patterns of inconsistency, integrate clinical, laboratory, and organizational data, and support processes. decision-making based on analytical evidence (PORTER; TEISBERG, 2006; NONAKA; TAKEUCHI, 1995).

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

From this perspective, predictive clinical exception management models represent an advance.
relevant in building more resilient, adaptive, and sustainable dental systems.
By integrating analytical intelligence, clinical governance, and operational management, these frameworks broaden the
institutional capacity to reduce risks, minimize rework, and sustain organizational efficiency.
in environments highly dependent on technological integration (DIXON-WOODS et al., 2011;
CHAFFEE, 2019).

4. Proposal for an integrated framework for predictive management of clinical exceptions (figpec)

Given the limitations observed in conventional models of clinical and operational management in
In the field of digital implantology, this study proposes an Integrated Predictive Management Framework.
Clinical Exceptions (FIGPEC), conceived as an organizational structure focused on identification
early intervention, systemic interpretation, and strategic management of clinical variability in workflows.
Digital implantology. The framework is based on the premise that clinical exceptions do not
They should not be understood not only as isolated failures, but as multifactorial events.
capable of revealing operational vulnerabilities, systemic inconsistencies, and opportunities for
Organizational refinement.
The concept of FIGPEC engages with principles stemming from health management and governance.
clinical and organizational intelligence approaches, especially regarding the need to replace approaches
reactive by predictive and adaptive models in complex clinical environments (BERWICK, 2016;
(MINTZBERG, 2017). From this perspective, the efficient management of clinical exceptions becomes...
to depend on the integration between continuous monitoring, data analysis, and decision-making.
interdisciplinary and systematic organizational learning (NONAKA; TAKEUCHI, 1995; DIXON-
WOODS et al., 2011).
The proposed framework surpasses traditional linear logic, which is based on rigid protocols and validations.
punctual, and adopts a dynamic and forward-looking approach. In highly competitive dental environments
digitized, characterized by high technological interdependence, multiple clinical variables and
Given the constant need for quick decisions, having organizational structures becomes essential.
capable of interpreting early signs of inconsistency and anticipating clinical and operational risks
(PORTER; TEISBERG, 2006; SHARMA et al., 2022).

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

4.1 Organizational structure of the framework

FIGPEC is structured around five interdependent operational pillars, which operate from integrated approach throughout digital implant workflows:

a) **Integrated collection of clinical and operational data;**

b) **Predictive analysis of variability and inconsistencies;**

c) **Interdisciplinary validation of therapeutic flows;**

d) **Strategic management of clinical and operational risk;**

e) **Continuous auditing and organizational learning.**

The first pillar refers to the systematic consolidation of information from multiple sources. digital technologies, including intraoral scans, three-dimensional virtual planning, and records. Prosthetic devices, photographic documentation, laboratory indicators, and operational data from the clinic. A Literature in knowledge management emphasizes that organizational efficiency depends on the ability to integrate dispersed data into coherent and accessible informational structures for the processes decision-making (NONAKA; TAKEUCHI, 1995; KAPLAN; NORTON, 1996).

The second pillar refers to the use of predictive analytics mechanisms aimed at identification. early detection of inconsistency patterns. Unlike purely corrective approaches, the FIGPEC proposes continuous monitoring of critical variables capable of signaling potential deviations. operational aspects before they produce significant clinical impacts, aligning with principles. contemporary approaches to proactive risk management in healthcare (BERWICK, 2016; DIXON-WOODS et al., 2011).

The third pillar is based on the interdisciplinary validation of decision-making processes. The growing The complexity of digital dentistry demands collaborative integration among implantologists. Prosthetists, laboratory technicians, clinical managers, and operational teams. Coordination among these actors reduce informational fragmentation, mitigate the risks of isolated interpretations, and strengthen the Therapeutic consistency of implant flows (EDMONDSON, 2012; MINTZBERG, 2017).

The fourth pillar refers to the strategic management of clinical-operational risk. Within this framework, exceptions... Clinics are analyzed not only from a technical perspective, but also considering their impacts. organizational, financial, and caregiving aspects. This approach expands the institutional capacity to Prioritize preventive interventions, optimize resource allocation, and reduce rework, aligning with... to the recommendations of contemporary *clinical governance* models (CHAFFEE, 2019; ERICHSEN et al., 2020).

Finally, the fifth pillar corresponds to continuous auditing and organizational learning. FIGPEC considers that clinical exceptions represent relevant sources of institutional intelligence.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

allowing for the progressive refinement of protocols, the improvement of the quality of care, and the Strengthening clinical governance based on real operational evidence (DIXON-WOODS et al., 2011; BERWICK, 2016).

4.2 Integrated triangulation of clinical and operational data

One of FIGPEC's main distinguishing features is its use of integrated data triangulation. as a central mechanism for the systemic interpretation of clinical exceptions. In models Conventional, clinical, and operational information tends to remain fragmented across different systems. platforms, making it difficult to gain a comprehensive understanding of the factors that contribute to inconsistencies. therapeutic and organizational (GHANAVATI et al., 2020).

The framework proposes the simultaneous integration of clinical and anatomical patient data. digital scan and virtual planning files, laboratory and prosthetic information, operational indicators (clinical time, rework, productivity) and qualitative perceptions of professionals and patients involved in the treatment. This triangulation allows for interpretation. contextualized variability and expands the ability to identify hidden patterns of operational inconsistency (DA SILVA et al., 2023; SHARMA et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the integration of multiple information sources strengthens operational traceability and The auditability of clinical processes, contributing to greater patient safety. therapeutic predictability and organizational transparency (CHAFFEE, 2019; ERICHSEN et al., 2020).

4.3 Predictive intelligence and continuous monitoring

The incorporation of predictive intelligence is a central component of FIGPEC. Instead of By limiting itself to retrospective analysis of already established failures, the framework prioritizes development. continuous monitoring mechanisms capable of anticipating potential clinical inconsistencies and operational aspects throughout the digital implant workflows (TOPOL, 2019; BERWICK, 2016).

Analytical models can be used to identify recurring patterns of rework. frequent inconsistencies in scanning, repetitive prosthetic deviations, incompatibilities between Digital libraries and operational bottlenecks related to clinical-laboratory integration. Under the From a managerial perspective, this predictive capability facilitates faster decisions and reduces... waste reduction, optimization of the clinical schedule, and strengthening operational sustainability. (PORTER; TEISBERG, 2006; WONG et al., 2003).

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

4.4 Interdisciplinary collaborative management

The high complexity of digital implant workflows demands collaborative management models.
clinics capable of integrating multiple professional skills into decision-making structures
coordinates. FIGPEC adopts an interdisciplinary approach, based on cooperation.
continuous communication between clinical professionals, laboratory staff, and managers, reducing informational asymmetries and
strengthening the strategic alignment of therapeutic flows (EDMONDSON, 2012; GITTELL,
2009).

From an organizational perspective, collaborative models tend to reduce communication failures.
To minimize procedural redundancies and increase operational consistency. Consequently,
Clinics capable of structuring integrated interdisciplinary management systems show greater...
adaptive capacity in the face of continuous technological transformations in dentistry
contemporary (MINTZBERG, 2017; SHARMA et al., 2022).

5. Managerial and operational impacts of the framework

The implementation of integrated predictive clinical exception management models has the potential to...
to generate significant impacts not only on therapeutic predictability, but also on efficiency.
organizational, financial sustainability, and quality of care in dental clinics.
digital. From this perspective, the **Integrated Framework for Predictive Management of Clinical Exceptions (FIGPEC)** goes beyond the purely technical dimension, assuming a strategic role in
contemporary clinical and operational management processes (BERWICK, 2016; MINTZBERG, 2017).
In environments highly dependent on technological integration, small improvements in processes
Organizational factors tend to generate significant cumulative effects throughout the healthcare pathways.
In this way, structured mechanisms for continuous monitoring and predictive analysis become
capable of directly influencing institutional performance, operational stability, and
Organizational competitiveness of dental clinics (PORTER; TEISBERG, 2006).

5.1 Operational efficiency and rework reduction

One of the main expected impacts of implementing FIGPEC relates to the increase in
Operational efficiency of clinical and laboratory workflows. Early identification of inconsistencies.
Digital technologies, recurring failure patterns, and operational variability allow for a significant reduction.
rework rates, material waste, and repetition of clinical procedures are factors.
traditionally associated with increased costs and overburdened teams (WOMACK; JONES,
2003; SPEAR; BOWEN, 1999).

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS - Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

In digital dentistry, rework often results from prosthetic incompatibilities and failures.
Scanning errors, digital misalignments, and laboratory inconsistencies result in higher...
Clinical time, increased operational costs, and negative impact on the care schedule.
(ERICHSEN et al., 2020; SHARMA et al., 2022). By incorporating continuous monitoring and analysis
With integrated data, the framework promotes faster preventative responses, reducing the
cumulative propagation of these errors throughout the therapeutic process.
From a management perspective, increased operational efficiency contributes to better resource utilization.
the productive capacity of clinics, the optimization of the use of the clinical schedule and greater predictability
of care processes in high-demand environments (KAPLAN; NORTON, 1996;
DEMING, 1986).

5.2 Financial sustainability and organizational predictability

The increasing incorporation of digital technologies has substantially increased investments.
Operational equipment necessary for the maintenance of modern dental clinics.
Intraoral scanning, planning software, CAD/CAM systems and digital infrastructure
demand significant costs for acquisition, upgrading and technological maintenance (BERNARDO
et al., 2019; SHARMA et al., 2022).
In this context, recurring operational errors, clinical rework, and repetition of laboratory steps occur.
These factors can significantly compromise the financial predictability of institutions. The literature on
Healthcare management demonstrates that operational losses are frequently associated with
Systemic inefficiencies that are poorly monitored in traditional clinical management models (PORTER;
TEISBERG, 2006; ALBRECHT, 1998).
The implementation of FIGPEC promotes greater rationalization of resource use and a reduction in...
Waste reduction and increased financial predictability of digital flows. Continuous mechanisms.
Monitoring tools allow for the early identification of inefficient processes, enabling
Corrective interventions before inconsistencies generate significant economic impacts.
(BERWICK, 2016; MINTZBERG, 2017).

5.3 Patient experience and quality of care

Patient experience is a central component of contemporary healthcare quality.
and represents a strategic factor of organizational differentiation in digital dental clinics.
In complex implant treatments, therapeutic predictability and clear communication are essential.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS - Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

and the reduction of operational failures directly influence the perception of trust, safety and patient satisfaction (EPSTEIN; STREET JR., 2011; PORTER; TEISBERG, 2006).

Clinical and laboratory inconsistencies can have a significant negative impact on patient experience. care, including the prolongation of treatment, the need to repeat clinical steps and a reduction in confidence in the therapeutic process (ERICHSEN et al., 2020). By favoring the Early identification of clinical variability and continuous monitoring of digital flows, the FIGPEC contributes to increased operational stability and perceived therapeutic consistency. by the patient.

Furthermore, more structured organizational models promote interdisciplinary communication. efficient and a greater capacity for therapeutic personalization, elements that are progressively valued in contemporary models of health care (EDMONDSON, 2012; GITTELL, 2009).

5.4 Clinical governance and operational traceability

Another relevant managerial impact of the framework relates to the strengthening of clinical governance. institutional structures. The increasing complexity of digital dental ecosystems demands institutional structures. organizational structures capable of monitoring operational performance, tracking inconsistencies, and documenting them. decision-making processes in a systematic way (CHAFFEE, 2019; DIXON-WOODS et al., 2011).

In this context, FIGPEC expands its institutional capacity to develop mechanisms. Structured clinical audit, operational indicator monitoring, and analysis. Continuous improvement of healthcare performance. The integration of clinical, laboratory, and management data. It promotes the construction of more transparent, auditable, and evidence-driven systems. real operational data (ERICHSEN et al., 2020; BERWICK, 2016).

The traceability of digital flows also contributes to greater healthcare safety and strengthening *organizational compliance* and reduction of legal vulnerabilities associated with documentation clinical practice and therapeutic decision-making (MINTZBERG, 2017; CHAFFEE, 2019).

5.5 Strategic management of technological innovation

The literature on organizational innovation highlights that technological adoption, without development... A proportional number of compatible management structures can increase operational vulnerabilities and to reduce institutional efficiency (CHRISTENSEN, 2016; KOTTER, 1996). In this sense, innovation

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

Technology should be understood as a complex organizational process that requires
Alignment between technology, governance, operational management, and strategic decision-making.
FIGPEC aims precisely to reduce the misalignment between technological sophistication and maturity.
organizational by integrating predictive intelligence, continuous monitoring, and collaborative management
Interdisciplinary. This approach favors the development of more resilient clinical models.
Adaptive and sustainable, capable of absorbing the variability inherent in digital dentistry.
contemporary (BERWICK, 2016; MINTZBERG, 2017).
Consequently, clinics capable of aligning technological innovation and managerial intelligence tend to...
to demonstrate greater competitive capacity, operational stability, and continuous adaptation to
market transformations and digital technologies applied to health (PORTER; TEISBERG, 2006;
SHARMA et al., 2022).

6. Practical applicability of the framework in digital dental clinics.

The practical applicability of integrated predictive management models depends directly on
Adaptability to different operational realities of dental clinics.
contemporary. In this sense, the **Integrated Framework for Predictive Exception Management
Clinics (FIGPEC)** was conceived as a flexible and scalable structure, capable of operating in
clinical environments with varying levels of technological complexity, care volume, and
organizational maturity (BERWICK, 2016; MINTZBERG, 2017).
The increasing digitalization of dentistry has significantly amplified the need for systems.
Organizations capable of integrating operational efficiency, therapeutic predictability, and management.
strategic information. From this perspective, the proposed framework shows potential for
application in multiple clinical and organizational settings, particularly in environments
characterized by a high dependence on digital integration and decision-making based on
data (PORTER; TEISBERG, 2006; SHARMA et al., 2022).

6.1 High-volume dental clinics

In clinics with high patient flow, the efficient management of clinical variability plays a crucial role.
a central role in organizational sustainability. High-demand environments tend to present
greater vulnerability to operational bottlenecks, interdisciplinary communication failures, and overload
of clinical control systems, especially when supported by complex digital workflows.
(KAPLAN; NORTON, 1996; DEMING, 1986).

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

In this context, FIGPEC contributes to increasing operational efficiency through...
Continuous monitoring of critical indicators, early identification of recurring patterns of
inconsistency, integration between clinical and laboratory workflows, and optimization of resource allocation.
operational resources (WOMACK; JONES, 2003; SPEAR; BOWEN, 1999). By reducing rework
Clinically and prosthetically, the framework promotes greater productive predictability and better utilization.
of the clinical agenda in high-turnover care settings (ERICHSEN et al., 2020).
From a management perspective, clinics capable of integrating operational intelligence into their workflows.
Digital solutions tend to offer greater organizational stability, reduced waste, and improved performance.
continuous improvement in healthcare performance, even in the face of high volumes of care.
(BERWICK, 2016; MINTZBERG, 2017).

6.2 Management of complex clinical cases

Individual biological variability is one of the main challenges in digital dentistry.
contemporary. Patients with anatomical limitations, systemic impairments, resorptions
Extensive bone lesions or complex rehabilitation needs often require approaches
Highly adaptive therapies that go beyond the logic of rigid and standardized protocols.
(FRASER et al., 2023; SHARMA et al., 2022).
In these scenarios, the inflexible application of conventional digital workflows can limit the ability to...
clinical response and increase the risk of operational inconsistencies. FIGPEC expands the capacity
adaptive implant workflows by integrating continuous monitoring, predictive analysis and
Interdisciplinary validation of clinical decisions, allowing for progressive adjustments over time.
treatment (DIXON-WOODS et al., 2011; DA SILVA et al., 2023).
From a clinical perspective, this approach contributes to increased clinical safety.
improved therapeutic predictability and reduced risks associated with decision-making in
Complex implant-supported treatments, preserving therapeutic personalization without
compromise organizational efficiency (BERNARDO et al., 2019; CHAFFEE, 2019).

6.3 Integration between clinic, laboratory and digital systems

The efficiency of digital implant workflows depends heavily on the quality of the integration between
the clinic, the laboratory, and the technological platforms used throughout the treatment. However, the
Information fragmentation remains a recurring challenge in many environments.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

digitized dental systems, which favors inconsistencies in interoperability and failures in interdisciplinary communication (GHANAVATI et al., 2020).

Incompatibilities between digital files, integration failures between software, misalignments Prosthetic and parameterization discrepancies often generate significant cumulative impacts. in the quality of care and operational stability of clinics (DA SILVA et al., 2023; (ERICHSEN et al., 2020). In this context, FIGPEC proposes an integrated model of Sharing and interpreting data can reduce informational asymmetries and strengthen Operational synchronization between the different agents involved in the therapeutic flow. Furthermore, structured mechanisms for traceability and continuous auditing promote greater... Operational transparency and allow for faster identification of systemic inconsistencies. contributing to the progressive optimization of digital processes (CHAFFEE, 2019; DIXON-WOODS et al., 2011).

From an organizational perspective, clinics that are able to efficiently structure integration The relationship between digital systems and multidisciplinary teams tends to show greater consistency. operational, organizational resilience and adaptive capacity in the face of continuous transformations of contemporary digital dentistry (MINTZBERG, 2017; BERWICK, 2016).

Conclusion

The digital transformation of contemporary dentistry has led to significant advances in precision. therapeutics, automation of clinical workflows and technological integration, especially in rehabilitation. implant-supported surgeries mediated by digital processes. However, as discussed throughout this The study also found that increased technological sophistication has significantly amplified complexity. The organizational structure of dental clinics introduces challenges related to clinical variability. to systemic interoperability, operational management, and strategic risk management (BERWICK, 2016; SHARMA et al., 2022).

The analyses carried out demonstrated that conventional models of clinical management, mostly structured in linear protocols and with predominantly reactive responses, They present significant limitations given the dynamic and multifactorial nature of ecosystems. Digital dental equipment. In environments characterized by high technological interdependence. and the multiplicity of clinical and operational variables, the absence of structured mechanisms of Continuous monitoring and predictive analytics tend to increase rework and inconsistencies. therapeutics and organizational vulnerabilities (DIXON-WOODS et al., 2011; GHANAVATI et al., 2020).

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

In this context, the present study proposed the **Integrated Predictive Management Framework.**
Clinical Exceptions (FIGPEC) as an organizational model focused on early identification,
systemic interpretation and strategic management of clinical variability in digital workflows
Implantological approaches. Unlike traditional approaches focused exclusively on correction.
In light of late failures, FIGPEC is based on a predictive and adaptive logic, integrating analysis.
data, continuous auditing, operational intelligence, and interdisciplinary validation of decision-making.
clinical decision (NONAKA; TAKEUCHI, 1995; BERWICK, 2016).

The theoretical and conceptual results indicate that the adoption of integrated predictive management frameworks
can contribute significantly to increased operational efficiency and reduced costs.
rework, strengthening clinical governance, and expanding organizational sustainability.
of digital dental clinics. In addition, by promoting greater traceability of processes.
Clinical and operational aspects, such models contribute to improving the quality of care and...
Patient experience: central aspects of contemporary healthcare models.
(EPSTEIN; STREET JR., 2011; PORTER; TEISBERG, 2006).

Another relevant finding relates to the reinterpretation of clinical exceptions as strategic sources of
institutional learning. Instead of being understood merely as undesirable deviations or
In the absence of specific failures, clinical variability begins to play a fundamental role in refinement.
continuous protocols, in the development of organizational intelligence and in strengthening the
A culture of continuous improvement in highly digitalized dental environments (MINTZBERG,
2017; CHAFFEE, 2019).

The study also reinforces that the incorporation of advanced technologies, including artificial intelligence...
Artificial intelligence and predictive analytics should not replace human clinical judgment, but rather act as a substitute.
A complementary tool in decision-making. Professional experience, interpretation
Contextual factors and the adaptive capacity of clinical teams remain essential elements for the
proper management of the complexities inherent in contemporary digital implantology
(TOPOL, 2019; LONGONI; BONEZZI; MOREWEDGE, 2019).

From a scientific point of view, this work contributes to interdisciplinary dialogue between
digital implantology, *health management,* clinical governance and analytical intelligence applied to health.
broadening the systemic understanding of organizational challenges associated with digital transformation
of dentistry. However, it is recognized that the study is predominantly theoretical in nature.
conceptual. Thus, future empirical research could evaluate the practical applicability of FIGPEC.
in different clinical contexts, including the measurement of operational indicators, the impact
financial aspects, reduced rework, and improved patient outcomes in digital dental clinics.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

In conclusion, the sustainability of contemporary digital dentistry depends not only on...
from the incorporation of sophisticated technologies, but also from the simultaneous development of models.
managers capable of integrating clinical governance, operational intelligence, and decision-making.
strategic. In this scenario, integrated frameworks for predictive clinical exception management tend to
to assume a central role in building more efficient, resilient and dental systems
sustainable.

Reference

ALBRECHT, Karl. *Service Management: How to Transform Quality into a Competitive Advantage.* São Paulo: Pioneira, 1998.

BERNARDO, Mario de Luca Canto et al. Digital workflow in oral rehabilitation: from treatment planning to final prosthesis. *Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Clinics of North America,* vol. 31, no. 3, p. 413–425, 2019.

BERWICK, Donald M. Era 3 for medicine and health care. *JAMA,* Chicago, vol. 315, no. 13, p. 1329–1330, 2016. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2016.1509.

CHAFFEE, Eric. The importance of clinical governance in modern healthcare systems. *International Journal of Health Governance,* vol. 24, no. 2, p. 115–124, 2019.

CHRISTENSEN, Clayton M. *The innovator's dilemma.* Boston: Harvard Business Review Press, 2016.

CROSSAN, Mary M.; APAYDIN, Marina. A multi-dimensional framework of organizational innovation. *Journal of Management Studies,* vol. 47, no. 6, p. 1154–1191, 2010.

DA SILVA, João et al. Artificial intelligence applications in digital dentistry: current trends and future perspectives. *Journal of Prosthodontics,* vol. 32, no. 4, p. 289–301, 2023.

DEMING, W. Edwards. *Out of the crisis.* Cambridge: MIT Press, 1986.

DIXON-WOODS, Mary et al. Explaining Michigan: developing an ex post theory of a quality improvement program—Milbank *Quarterly,* vol. 89, no. 2, p. 167–205, 2011.

EDMONDSON, Amy C. *Teaming: how organizations learn, innovate, and compete in the knowledge economy.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 2012.

EPSTEIN, Ronald M.; STREET JR., Richard L. The values and value of patient-centered care. *Annals of Family Medicine,* vol. 9, no. 2, p. 100–103, 2011.

ERICHSEN, Gerald et al. Clinical risk management in dental practice. *British Dental Journal,* London, vol. 228, no. 7, p. 495–500, 2020.

FRASER, Simon et al. Artificial intelligence and digital workflow integration in implant dentistry. *International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants,* vol. 38, no. 2, p. 145–156, 2023.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google

Year VII, v.1 2026 | Submission: 09/05/2026 | Accepted: 12/05/2026 | Publication: 15/05/2026

GHANAVATI, Sajjad et al. Interoperability challenges in digital healthcare ecosystems—Health *Informatics Journal,* vol. 26, no. 4, p. 2804–2819, 2020.

GITTELL, Jody Hoffer. *High performance healthcare: using the power of relationships to achieve quality, efficiency, and resilience.* New York: McGraw-Hill, 2009.

KAPLAN, Robert S.; NORTON, David P. *The balanced scorecard: translating strategy into action.* Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 1996.

KOTTER, John P. *Leading change.* Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 1996.

LONGONI, Chiara; BONEZZI, Andrea; MOREWEDGE, Carey K. Resistance to medical artificial intelligence. *Journal of Consumer Research,* vol. 46, no. 4, p. 629–650, 2019.

MINTZBERG, Henry. *Managing the myths of healthcare.* Oakland: Berrett-Koehler Publishers, 2017.

NONAKA, Ikujiro; TAKEUCHI, Hirotaka. *The knowledge-creating company.* New York: Oxford University Press, 1995.

PORTER, Michael E.; TEISBERG, Elizabeth Olmsted. *Redefining health care: creating value-based competition on results.* Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 2006.

SHARMA, Neeraj et al. Digital transformation in healthcare: current applications and future directions. *Cureus,* vol. 14, no. 9, 2022.

SPEAR, Steven; BOWEN, H. Kent. Decoding the DNA of the Toyota Production System. *Harvard Business Review,* vol. 77, no. 5, p. 96–106, 1999.

Topol, Eric. *Deep medicine: how artificial intelligence can make healthcare human again.* New York: Basic Books, 2019.

WOMACK, James P.; JONES, Daniel T. *Lean thinking.* New York: Simon & Schuster, 2003.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.