Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS - Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.2 2026 | Submission: April 12, 2026 | Accepted: April 15, 2026 | Publication: April 18, 2026

Standardization of clinical protocols and risk management in complex bone reconstructions and implantology.

Clinical protocol standardization and risk management in bone reconstruction and complex implant dentistry

Standardization of clinical protocols and risk management in bone reconstructions and complete dental implantology

Eduardo Franco Sansevero

- Dentist graduated from the University of Santo Amaro (1999);
- Specialist in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology from the University of São Paulo (2004-2006).
- Over 20 years of clinical and teaching experience, with more than 3,000 documented osseointegrated implant surgeries and complex reconstructions.

SUMMARY

The increasing complexity of reconstructive procedures in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Implantology has amplified the need for strategies capable of reducing clinical variability and preventing adverse events. Alongside advancements in surgical techniques and biomaterials, there is a growing emphasis on patient safety through the adoption of standardized clinical protocols, systematic assessment of risk factors, and strengthened communication among multidisciplinary teams. This narrative review analyzes the main evidence related to the standardization of care protocols applied to bone reconstructions and complex implantology, addressing aspects such as preoperative evaluation, risk stratification, infection control, checklists, process traceability, and clinical auditing. The literature demonstrates that the systematic use of these resources contributes to greater therapeutic predictability, reduction of avoidable complications, and continuous improvement in the quality of care.

However, the effectiveness of these strategies depends on the incorporation of an organizational culture focused on patient safety, ongoing education for teams, and the use of protocols based on up-to-date scientific evidence.

Keywords: Patient safety. Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology. Implantology. Bone reconstruction. Clinical protocols. Risk management.

ABSTRACT

The increasing complexity of reconstructive procedures in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Implant Dentistry has reinforced the need for strategies aimed at reducing clinical variability and preventing adverse events. Alongside advances in surgical techniques and biomaterials, patient safety has become increasingly dependent on standardized clinical protocols, systematic risk assessment and effective communication among multidisciplinary teams. This narrative review discusses current evidence regarding standardized clinical protocols applied to bone reconstruction and complex implant dentistry, focusing on preoperative assessment, risk stratification, infection prevention, surgical safety checklists, process traceability and clinical auditing. Available evidence indicates that protocol-based care contributes to improved treatment predictability, reduction of preventable complications and continuous quality improvement. However, these benefits depend on the establishment of a patient safety culture, continuous professional training and the implementation of evidence-based clinical protocols.

Keywords: Patient safety. Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. Implant Dentistry. Bone reconstruction. Clinical protocols. Risk management.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS - Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.2 2026 | Submission: April 12, 2026 | Accepted: April 15, 2026 | Publication: April 18, 2026

1 INTRODUCTION

Bone reconstructions and advanced implantology procedures represent some of the...
more complex interventions in the practice of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology. In addition
Due to the high technical demands, these procedures often involve patients with
systemic comorbidities, varying degrees of bone deficiency, history of multiple interventions
surgical procedures and the need for integration between various dental and medical specialties. This
This combination of factors increases the complexity of treatment and requires careful planning in all aspects.
the stages of care (FONSECA, 2018; MILORO et al., 2022).

Although advances related to biomaterials, reconstructive techniques, and methods of
Although diagnostic tools have contributed to increasingly predictable outcomes, the occurrence of
Complications remain a significant clinical challenge. Infections, graft failure, and early loss are all potential problems.
of implants, soft tissue dehiscence, neurosensory injuries and systemic complications
They continue to be described in the literature, often associated not only with the characteristics
biological factors of the patient, but also the variability of care processes and the absence of
standardized protocols for assessment, execution and monitoring of treatment (MISCH, 2015;
FAVERANI et al., 2014).

In recent decades, the concept of patient safety has come to occupy a central position in
Quality policies in healthcare. The publication of international initiatives aimed at prevention.
adverse events, such as the World Health Organization's **Safe Surgery Saves Lives** program,
reinforced the importance of strategies capable of reducing avoidable failures through the use of
Checklists, improved communication between teams, process traceability.
assistance and strengthening the safety culture (WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION,
2009). Although initially directed at the hospital setting, these recommendations have been
progressively incorporated into dental surgical specialties, including Surgery and
Oral and Maxillofacial Traumatology.

In this context, the standardization of clinical protocols should not be understood as merely...
standardization of conduct, but as a tool to reduce process variability.
To improve decision-making and promote greater consistency in care. Protocols
Evidence-based methods contribute to systematizing preoperative assessment.
to guide patient selection, establish criteria for preventing complications, and promote
greater integration between surgeons, anesthesiologists, prosthetists, nursing teams and others
professionals involved in the treatment.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google

Year VII, v.2 2026 | Submission: April 12, 2026 | Accepted: April 15, 2026 | Publication: April 18, 2026

Given this scenario, the present article aims to review the available evidence on the
Standardization of clinical protocols and risk management in bone reconstruction and implantology.
complex, discussing its contribution to patient safety and the reduction of adverse events.
and the improvement of the quality of care in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Patient safety and prevention of adverse events in Surgery and Traumatology

Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Patient safety has become one of the main pillars of quality care in
in recent decades, driven by the recognition that a significant portion of adverse events in
Health is related to process failures and not exclusively to the technical performance of
professionals. The report *To Err Is Human,* published by the Institute of Medicine in 1999,
demonstrated that healthcare errors represent a significant cause of preventable morbidity and encouraged
the development of policies aimed at standardizing clinical practices, communication between
Teams and reduction of process variability (KOHN; CORRIGAN; DONALDSON, 2000).
In the surgical field, these concepts gained greater relevance after the implementation of the program.
Safe Surgery Saves Lives, from the World Health Organization, which introduced the Checklist.
Surgical Safety as a strategy to minimize errors related to the identification of
patient, laterality, procedure, availability of materials and communication between the
professionals involved in the surgical procedure (WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, 2009). Although
Initially developed for the hospital environment, the use of checklists has become...
to be incorporated also into more complex outpatient procedures, including
Interventions performed in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology.
Extensive bone reconstructions and advanced implantology procedures present
characteristics that justify special attention from the perspective of healthcare safety. A
The need for autogenous grafts or biomaterials, the proximity of vital anatomical structures,
prolonged surgical time and frequent association with systemic conditions, such as diabetes.
Diabetes mellitus, smoking, osteoporosis, and a history of head and neck radiation therapy increase the risk.
The possibility of unforeseen events makes a structured approach to assessment indispensable.
risk control (MISCH, 2015; FONSECA, 2018).
In this context, it becomes increasingly evident that the prevention of complications does not depend
exclusively depends on the surgeon's technical skill. The literature demonstrates that consistent results

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS - Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.2 2026 | Submission: April 12, 2026 | Accepted: April 15, 2026 | Publication: April 18, 2026

are associated with the adoption of well-defined care protocols, careful selection of patients, Proper documentation, efficient communication between professionals, and continuous monitoring of clinical outcomes. Thus, patient safety must be understood as a component A cross-section of all stages of treatment, from initial assessment to post-treatment follow-up. operative.

2.2 Clinical variability and the importance of standardizing protocols

Despite the advances observed in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology, there still is considerable heterogeneity in the conduct of reconstructive and implant procedures between different services and professionals. Criteria for surgical indication, protocols of antibiotic prophylaxis, perioperative planning, postoperative follow-up and definition of Therapeutic success often varies between institutions, reflecting differences in training. clinical experience and resource availability (MILORO et al., 2022).

This variability can directly influence the predictability of treatments. Although part of the Clinical decisions should be individualized according to the patient's characteristics, the absence of Minimum protocols hinder the standardization of care and increase the possibility of errors. communication is compromised and affects the reproducibility of results. In this sense, clinical protocols They should not be interpreted as instruments that restrict professional autonomy, but as Tools capable of organizing the care process and reducing avoidable errors.

The use of standardized protocols also promotes greater integration between the different stages. of the treatment. In complex bone reconstructions, for example, the prior definition of the examinations complementary criteria, indications, medication preparation, infection control, and... Post-operative guidelines and monitoring parameters allow all professionals Those involved share common care goals, reducing inconsistencies during the process. service.

Another relevant aspect concerns the possibility of clinical audits. Standardized processes make this possible. the results are more easily measurable, allowing for the identification of quality indicators. Monitor complication rates and implement corrective actions when necessary. This approach It is aligned with the principles of continuous quality improvement in healthcare, in which evaluation The systematic analysis of results is an indispensable tool for improving practice. clinic.

Therefore, the standardization of protocols represents a strategy to strengthen security. patient, promote greater consistency in decision-making and increase the predictability of

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google

Year VII, v.2 2026 | Submission: April 12, 2026 | Accepted: April 15, 2026 | Publication: April 18, 2026

more complex reconstructive and implantology procedures, without replacing the
Individualized therapy is required for each clinical case.

2.3 Preoperative assessment and risk stratification

Preoperative assessment is one of the most important steps for predicting the outcome.
Bone reconstruction and advanced implantology procedures. More than just confirming the
surgical feasibility: this process allows for the identification of local and systemic factors capable of...
to influence healing, graft stability, implant osseointegration, and the occurrence
of postoperative complications. The literature shows that a significant portion of these events
Adverse effects can be minimized through early identification of these factors and the adoption of...
individualized preventive measures (MISCH, 2015; MILORO et al., 2022).
Clinical risk stratification should begin with obtaining a detailed medical history.
accompanied by a thorough physical examination and assessment of the patient's systemic conditions.
In this context, the classification proposed by the **American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA)** remains
Widely used to estimate general physical condition and assist in defining the surgical environment.
safer, requiring differentiated monitoring and the participation of medical teams.
specialized when indicated (ASA, 2020). Although it was not specifically developed
For dentistry, its application contributes to the standardization of perioperative evaluation and to the
Identifying patients with a higher probability of clinical complications.
Among the systemic conditions with the greatest impact are poorly controlled diabetes mellitus,
Smoking, cardiovascular diseases, immunosuppression, osteoporosis treated with medication.
Antiresorptive factors and a history of head and neck radiation therapy can compromise the immune system.
impaired tissue vascularization, delayed bone repair, and increased susceptibility to infections, loss
of grafts and failures in osseointegration. For this reason, the surgical indication should not consider
not only the anatomical defect to be treated, but also the patient's overall clinical condition and their
ability to respond appropriately to the procedure (FONSECA, 2018; MISCH, 2015).
Local assessment also plays a crucial role in therapeutic planning. Quality and
bone volume, soft tissue thickness, periodontal conditions, oral hygiene, stability
Occlusal problems and the presence of active infectious processes are factors directly related to...
Prognosis of reconstructions and implants. The integrated analysis of these parameters allows
to establish individualized protocols and reduce the occurrence of complications arising from
Inadequate indications or insufficient planning.
In this context, risk stratification should not be understood as a mechanism for excluding...

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google

Year VII, v.2 2026 | Submission: April 12, 2026 | Accepted: April 15, 2026 | Publication: April 18, 2026

not only for patients, but also as a tool to guide clinical decisions and establish preventive measures. and promote greater therapeutic predictability. The individualization of treatment, based on Clinical protocols and scientific evidence remain one of the main determinants of safety and success in more complex procedures.

2.4 Perioperative protocols and reduction of care variability

Standardization of perioperative steps is a widely recognized strategy for To reduce avoidable errors and promote greater consistency in surgical care. In reconstructions. complex bone and implant dentistry, procedures frequently performed by teams multidisciplinary and with a high degree of interdependence between its stages, the systematic organization of The patient flow becomes as important as the technical execution of the surgery itself. In this context, the use of checklists *represents* one of the most effective measures. studied in the literature on patient safety. The Surgical Safety Checklist The World Health Organization establishes fundamental steps related to the confirmation of Patient identity, planned procedure, surgical site, availability of tests. supplementary materials, necessary supplies, and communication among team members. Although Developed for different surgical specialties, its principles are fully demonstrated. applicable to more complex maxillofacial procedures (WORLD HEALTH) ORGANIZATION, 2009; HAYNES et al., 2009).

Another relevant aspect concerns the standardization of clinical documentation. Complete records of Preoperative assessment, complementary examinations, treatment plan, informed consent, Prescribing medication and providing post-operative follow-up promote continuity of care. and expand the traceability of clinical decisions. In addition to their clinical value, these records They constitute an important tool for internal audits, results evaluation, and identification. opportunities for improvement.

Communication between the professionals involved in the treatment also directly influences the Patient safety. Complex bone reconstructions often involve the participation of Surgeons, prosthetists, anesthesiologists, nursing teams, and prosthetic laboratories. A Incomplete transmission of information between these stages can compromise planning. therapeutic and encourage the occurrence of avoidable errors. Structured communication protocols They contribute to reducing this variability and strengthening integration among different professionals.

The literature demonstrates that well-defined perioperative protocols do not completely eliminate the risk of complications. occurrence of complications, but they reduce the variability of care processes and promote

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.2 2026 | Submission: April 12, 2026 | Accepted: April 15, 2026 | Publication: April 18, 2026

More consistent responses to complex clinical situations. Therefore, standardization should to be understood as a tool to support clinical practice, while preserving the surgeon's autonomy. and allowing for adaptations whenever the individual characteristics of the patient so require.

2.5 Infection control and prevention of complications in bone reconstruction and implantology complex

Infection control is one of the main determinants of success in bone reconstruction. and advanced implantology procedures. Despite the high predictability achieved by In contemporary implant dentistry, postoperative infections continue to be among the main health risks. causes of premature graft loss, impaired osseointegration, and the need for new grafts. surgical interventions. Preventing these complications depends on a multifactorial approach. which involves proper patient selection, control of systemic conditions, and non-surgical technique. trauma, biosecurity and structured postoperative follow-up (MISCH, 2015; FONSECA, 2018).

In this context, infection prevention protocols should cover all phases of treatment. Preoperative assessment includes identifying active infectious foci and controlling diseases. periodontal disease, proper oral hygiene, and review of systemic conditions that may interfere with... patient's immune response. Simple measures, such as stabilizing periodontal health beforehand Bone reconstruction or implant placement demonstrates a significant impact on reducing of infectious complications and in the predictability of treatment.

Antibiotic prophylaxis remains a widely discussed topic in the literature. The recommendations Current data indicates that its use should be individualized according to the complexity of the... The procedure, the patient's clinical characteristics, and the risk of infection should be considered, avoiding both the use of... indiscriminate omission in situations where there is proven benefit. The adoption of Institutional protocols based on evidence contribute to reducing variability among professionals and promote the rational use of antimicrobials, aligning clinical practice with the principles prevention of bacterial resistance (WHO, 2023; LOCKHART et al., 2019).

Another essential component relates to the proper processing of instruments, to monitoring of sterilization processes and maintenance of biosafety conditions of Surgical environment. Guidelines published by the **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).** and the **National Health Surveillance Agency (ANVISA)** establish parameters for cleaning, Disinfection, sterilization, and traceability of materials used in invasive procedures. Systematic adoption of these recommendations reduces the risk of cross-contamination and strengthens the

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS - Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.2 2026 | Submission: April 12, 2026 | Accepted: April 15, 2026 | Publication: April 18, 2026

Quality of care in dental clinics and surgical centers (CDC, 2016; ANVISA, 2012).
Although no single strategy can completely eliminate the occurrence of infections,
a combination of thorough patient assessment, standardized protocols, and rigorous biosafety.
Systematic clinical monitoring constitutes the most consistent approach to reducing events.
adverse events and increase the predictability of bone reconstructions and complex implantology.

2.6 Clinical audit, quality indicators and continuous improvement

The incorporation of clinical protocols only produces consistent results when accompanied by...
through mechanisms capable of evaluating its application and outcomes. In this sense, clinical auditing
It represents an essential tool for verifying the compliance of care processes.
To identify opportunities for improvement and monitor the effectiveness of implemented interventions.
Unlike approaches focused exclusively on administrative control, auditing
The clinic's main objective is to improve the quality of care provided to the patient through
from the systematic analysis of the results obtained.
In Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology, indicators related to infection rate, loss
of grafts, implant failures, neurosensory complications, need for reinterventions and
Post-operative complications allow for the evaluation of the performance of care protocols and provide guidance.
Evidence-based adjustments. Standardized recording of these outcomes facilitates comparisons to
over time and contributes to the development of preventive strategies aimed at
main causes of complications observed in each service.
Another relevant aspect concerns the analysis of so-called *near misses,* situations in which a failure
It is identified before it causes harm to the patient. The literature on healthcare safety recognizes
Investigating these events provides valuable information about system vulnerabilities.
This approach is supportive, allowing for the correction of processes before clinical consequences occur.
It shifts the focus from individual accountability to understanding organizational factors.
involved in the occurrence of errors, a principle widely disseminated in the studies of James Reason.
on the security of complex systems (REASON, 2000).
Continuous quality improvement also depends on the periodic review of institutional protocols.
In light of the most recent scientific evidence, recommendations related to antibiotic prophylaxis are provided.
Infection control, criteria for bone reconstruction, management of systemically infected patients.
Committed individuals and post-operative follow-up strategies undergo frequent updates.
requiring ongoing programs of continuing education and review of care flows.
In this way, clinical protocols should be understood as dynamic instruments, capable of being adapted.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google

Year VII, v.2 2026 | Submission: April 12, 2026 | Accepted: April 15, 2026 | Publication: April 18, 2026

Continuous improvement in accordance with the evolution of scientific knowledge.

More than just establishing operational standards, clinical auditing strengthens an organizational culture.

Guided by quality, transparency, and continuous learning. In procedures of

high complexity, in which small flaws can significantly compromise the

Treatment prognosis, the ability to monitor outcomes and incorporate systematic improvements.

This constitutes one of the main differentiators for obtaining safe, predictable, and data-driven assistance.

based on evidence.

3 DISCUSSION

The increasing complexity of bone reconstruction and implant dentistry has amplified the need for

Systematized approaches capable of reducing clinical variability without compromising the

Individualization of treatment. Although the evolution of biomaterials, surgical techniques and...

diagnostic methods have significantly increased the predictability of these procedures,

Literature shows that results continue to be influenced by factors that extend beyond...

The surgeon's technical skill. The proper selection of patients, the organization of procedures.

Care practices and the adoption of evidence-based protocols have a direct impact on the

occurrence of complications and on the stability of clinical outcomes (FONSECA, 2018;

MILORO et al., 2022).

Among the most established strategies, the standardization of preoperative assessment stands out.

Systematic identification of risk factors, such as decompensated diabetes mellitus, smoking, use

Information regarding antiresorptive medications, immunosuppression, and a history of radiotherapy allows for the establishment of...

Specific preventive measures and adapting the plan to the individual conditions of each patient.

This approach reduces decisions based solely on personal experience and promotes greater...

uniformity in the handling of complex cases (MISCH, 2015).

Another widely discussed aspect concerns the use of checklists and protocols.

perioperative. Although these tools do not eliminate the possibility of complications, their

Systematic application reduces errors related to patient identification and availability of

examinations, surgical preparation, clinical documentation, and communication between the professionals involved.

Studies conducted in different surgical specialties demonstrate that simple interventions,

When consistently incorporated into routine care, they contribute to reducing events.

avoidable adverse events and strengthen the safety culture (HAYNES et al., 2009; WORLD HEALTH

ORGANIZATION, 2009).

However, the literature also shows that the mere existence of protocols does not guarantee better results.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google
RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal The Knowledge.
ISSN: 2675-9128. São Paulo-SP.

Year VII, v.2 2026 | Submission: April 12, 2026 | Accepted: April 15, 2026 | Publication: April 18, 2026

results. Its effectiveness depends on team buy-in and periodic updates.
recommendations and the institutional capacity to monitor performance indicators. Protocols
Excessively complex or poorly adapted to the reality of services tend to have low performance.
Applicability, reducing its impact on the quality of care. In this way, standardization
must seek a balance between technical rigor, operational feasibility, and flexibility to accommodate the
particularities of each clinical case.
Clinical auditing represents another essential component of this process. Monitoring
systematic monitoring of indicators, such as postoperative infections, graft failures, and early loss of
Implants, neurosensory complications, and the need for reinterventions provide support for
Critical evaluation of results and identification of opportunities for improvement. More than just measuring.
In terms of outcomes, these indicators allow us to understand patterns of occurrence, improve protocols, and...
To guide ongoing education programs for healthcare teams.
Finally, it is observed that risk management in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology should be...
understood as an integral part of clinical practice and not as an exclusively clinical activity.
administrative. The incorporation of care protocols, associated with continuous monitoring of
Results and evidence-based updates contribute to greater therapeutic predictability and
It strengthens patient safety-centered care.

CONCLUSION

The standardization of clinical protocols is one of the main instruments for improving the quality of care.
Assistance in bone reconstruction and complex implantology. The literature demonstrates that the adoption
structured routines for preoperative assessment, risk stratification, infection control,
Communication between teams and post-operative follow-up helps to reduce variability.
of care processes and increase the predictability of treatments. These benefits become
particularly relevant in highly complex procedures, in which systemic factors
and location can directly influence healing, graft stability, and osseointegration.
of the implants.
The evidence analyzed indicates that preventing complications depends on an approach.
multifactorial, based on the integration of scientific knowledge, clinical experience and organization
of care processes. The use of evidence-based protocols does not limit the
The surgeon's autonomy; on the contrary, it offers support for more consistent decisions, favoring the...
Individualizing treatment without compromising minimum standards of quality and safety.
context, proper patient stratification, and continuous outcome monitoring.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google

Year VII, v.2 2026 | Submission: April 12, 2026 | Accepted: April 15, 2026 | Publication: April 18, 2026

They play a strategic role in reducing adverse events and improving clinical practice.
Another relevant aspect concerns the need to consolidate a patient safety culture.
also in the dental environment. Tools such as checklists, clinical audits,
Traceability of processes and systematic analysis of complications should be understood as
permanent instruments for improving care, and not merely as requirements.
regulatory. Its application promotes greater integration among the professionals involved in the treatment.
and expands the capacity of institutions to identify vulnerabilities before they result in
harm to the patient.
Although significant progress has been made in standardizing perioperative care,
There are still gaps related to the methodological quality of some publications and to
Heterogeneity of protocols used in different services. Prospective studies.
Multicenter studies, using standardized criteria for evaluating clinical outcomes, may
to contribute to strengthening the recommendations currently available and expanding their applicability in different care scenarios.

It can be concluded, therefore, that excellence in bone reconstruction and complex implantology does not
It depends not only on the technical execution of the surgical procedure, but also on the integration between
clinical planning, well-structured care protocols, continuous monitoring of
Quality and evidence-based decision-making. Strengthening this
This approach represents a consistent path to enhance patient safety and reduce
Avoidable complications and promoting increasingly predictable outcomes in Surgery and Traumatology
Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.

REFERENCES

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF ORAL AND MAXILLOFACIAL SURGEONS. Parameters of Care: Clinical Practice Guidelines for Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery (AAOMS ParCare 2023). Rosemont: AAOMS, 2023.

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF ANESTHESIOLOGISTS. Statement on ASA Physical Status Classification System. Schaumburg: ASA, 2020. Available at: https://www.asahq.org/standards- and-practice-parameters/statement-on-asa-physical-status-classification-system. Accessed on: 30 Jul. 2026.

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION. Summary of Infection Prevention Practices in Dental Settings: Basic Expectations for Safe Care. Atlanta: CDC, 2016.

FAVERANI, LP et al. Surgical techniques for maxillary bone grafting – literature *review. Revista do Colégio Brasileiro de Cirurgiões,* v. 41, n. 1, p. 61-67, 2014.

FONSECA, RJ *Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.* 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier, 2018. 3 v.

HAYNES, AB et al. A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population. *New England Journal of Medicine,* vol. 360, no. 5, p. 491-499, 2009.

KOHN, L.T.; CORRIGAN, JM; DONALDSON, MS (ed.). *To Err Is Human: Building a Safer*

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Machine Translated by Google

Year VII, v.2 2026 | Submission: April 12, 2026 | Accepted: April 15, 2026 | Publication: April 18, 2026

Health System. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2000.
LOCKHART, PB et al. Evidence-based clinical practice guideline on antibiotic use for the urgent management of pulpal- and periapical-related dental pain and intraoral swelling: a report from the American Dental Association. *Journal of the American Dental Association,* vol. 150, n. 11, p. 906-921.e12, 2019.
MILORO, M. et al. (ed.). *Peterson's Principles of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.* 4th ed. Cham: Springer, 2022.

MISCH, CE *Contemporary Implant Dentistry.* 3rd ed. St. Louis: Mosby Elsevier, 2008. (classic work frequently cited in later editions and related literature from 2015).
REASON, J. Human error: models and management. *BMJ,* vol. 320, no. 7237, p. 768-770, 2000.
WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION. *WHO Guidelines for Safe Surgery 2009: Safe Surgery Saves Lives.* Geneva: WHO, 2009.

This article is published in open access under the Creative Commons Attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.